

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
APRIL 16-17, 2025**

<b>TAB</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>ACTION</b>
1	<b>BOARD POLICY I.M. – ANNUAL PLANNING AND REPORTING – FIRST READING</b>	Action Item
2	<b>MENTORING/PROFESSIONAL PLATFORM UPDATE</b>	Information Item
3	<b>ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT UPDATE</b>	Information Item
4	<b>LEWIS-CLARK STATE COLLEGE – NAME CHANGE DESIGNATION REQUEST</b>	Action Item
5	<b>BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT SEARCH</b>	Information Item
6	<b>ANNOUNCEMENT OF BOARD OFFICERS</b>	Information Item

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS**  
**APRIL 16-17, 2025**

**SUBJECT**

Board Policy I.M. - Annual Planning and Reporting – First Reading

**REFERENCE**

March 2008	The Board approved first reading of Board Policy I.M. clarifying the Board’s role in accreditation visits and Board self-evaluation
April 2008	The Board approved second reading of Board Policy I.M.
August 2008	The Board approved first reading of Board Policy I.M. clarifying reporting requirements for strategic plans and performance measures
October 2008	The Board approved second reading of Board Policy I.M.
April 2011	The Board approved first reading of Board Policy I.M.
June 2011	The Board approved second reading of Board Policy I.M.
December 2016	The Board approved first reading of Board Policy I.M. adding definitions of strategic plan components.
February 2017	The Board approved second reading of Board Policy I.M.
April 2024	The Board approved a first reading of proposed amendments to Board policy I.M.
June 2024	The Board approved second reading of proposed amendments to Board policy I.M. for the purpose of aligning with statute and DFM procedures.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

Board Policy I.M. Annual Planning and Reporting  
Sections 67-1901 through 67-1905, Idaho Code

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

Sections 67-1901 through 67-1905, Idaho Code, establish the State’s annual strategic plan and performance reporting requirements. These requirements include the annual review and submission of strategic plans and performance measures. Institutions and agencies under the oversight of the Board submit their strategic plans to the Board for approval. The approved plans are then submitted by the Board office to the Division of Financial Management (DFM). This is part of a year-long cycle of continuous improvement.

Title 67, Chapter 19, Idaho Code also establishes that guidance for the statewide strategic planning process is to be governed by DFM. The DFM maintains a Strategic Planning and Performance Reporting guidance document and provides training to state agencies.

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
APRIL 16-17, 2025**

Board Policy I.M. is revised to separate the systemwide measures from the larger strategic planning process. This will allow for the systemwide measures to be addressed more nimbly by separate vote of the Board. It will also ensure that the Board's K-20 strategic plan, which is reviewed for potential revisions each February, is the only document governing the specific measures two and four-year institutions are required to include in their annual Performance Reports to DFM.

The proposed revisions have been workshopped with representatives from the two and four year institutions.

**IMPACT**

Proposed revisions to Board Policy I.M. will reduce the number of systemwide measures each two and four-year institution is required to include in their individual Performance Reports from 9 to 3. This provides a more intentional focus on meaningful outcomes-based systemwide measures while also allowing institutions to celebrate their individuality through the inclusion of up to 7 additional measures drawn from separately Board approved strategic plan, specific to each institution.

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1 – Board Policy I.M. Annual Planning and Reporting – Proposed Amendments, redline

**BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Board staff recommend approval of the proposed revisions to Board Policy I.M.

**BOARD ACTION**

I move to approve the first reading of the proposed amendment to Board Policy I.M. as presented in Attachment 1.

Moved by \_\_\_\_\_ Seconded by \_\_\_\_\_ Carried Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

**Idaho State Board of Education****GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES****SECTION: I. GENERAL GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES****SUBSECTION: M. Annual Planning and Reporting**

June February 2025

This subsection shall apply to Boise State University, Idaho State University, Lewis-Clark State College, University of Idaho, College of Eastern Idaho, College of Southern Idaho, College of Western Idaho, North Idaho College, and the agencies, under the Board's governance and oversight.

1. Board Approval
  - a. The Board will approve annually a K-20 strategic plan.
  - b. The Board will approve annually strategic plans for each agency and institution.
2. Strategic Plan Requirements
  - a. All strategic plans shall be compliant with Idaho Code § 67-1903 and the Strategic Planning and Performance Report Guide maintained by the Division of Financial Management (DFM).
  - b. All strategic plans shall be aligned to the Board approved K-20 strategic plan.
  - c. Two and Four-year institutions must include one measure for each post-secondary objective in the Board's K-20 strategic plan in their annual strategic plans.
  - d. Mission statements used in strategic planning must be approved by the Board, pursuant to Board Policy III.I or by the community college's board of trustees.
    - i. Mission statements may be approved in conjunction with the strategic planning process or brought to the Board as a separate agenda item.
  - e. Institutional strategic plans shall be compliant with applicable accreditation requirements.
3. Performance Report Requirements
  - a. All performance reports shall be compliant with Idaho Code § 67-1904 and the Strategic Planning and Performance Report Guide maintained by DFM.
  - b. All performance reports shall be aligned to the Board approved strategic plan for the submitting agency or institution.
  - c. Board staff will make available a performance report template for consistent reporting across all agencies and institutions.
4. Training
  - a. Key personnel from Board staff and each agency and institution shall be required to participate in training offered by the DFM pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-1905.
5. Timeline
  - a. ~~All agencies and institutions shall submit complete drafts of their strategic plans to designated Board staff no later than the meeting materials due date for the Board's regularly scheduled April meeting each year.~~

## Idaho State Board of Education

**GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

## SECTION: I. GENERAL GOVERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

## SUBSECTION: M. Annual Planning and Reporting

June February 2025

~~b. All agencies and institutions shall submit complete final drafts of their strategic plans to designated board staff no later than the meeting materials due date for the Board's regularly scheduled June meeting each year.~~

~~c. All agencies and institutions shall submit final drafts of performance reports to the designated board staff no later than August 15<sup>th</sup> each year.~~

d.a. Board approved strategic plans and performance reports shall be submitted by Board staff to DFM, on behalf of the agencies and institutions.

e.b. Performance reports shall be submitted to the Board for informational purposes no later than the Board's regularly scheduled October meeting each year.

## 6. Annual Reports

a. Agencies and institutions shall provide annual progress reports to the Board in accordance with a reporting schedule established by the Board's executive director.

b. Annual reports shall include, but need not be limited to, an update on the agency or institution's progress toward meeting objectives established in the strategic plan.

## 7. Performance Measures

a. The Board may develop a set of uniform system-wide performance measures for agencies or institutions.

i. Two and Four-year institutions must include one measure for each post-secondary objective in the Board's K-20 strategic plan in their annual performance reports.

ii. Board staff will determine the business rules applicable to such measures.

iii. Board staff will provide a reporting schedule for such measures.

b. Agencies and institutions may include additional performance measures in their strategic plan. However, Idaho Code § 67-1904 establishes that no more than ten (10) performance measures may be included in the performance report.

## 8. Additional Reports

Agencies and institutions will provide any data or report requested by the Board, in accordance with a schedule and format established by the Executive Director.

The census dates for reporting term-based postsecondary enrollment data shall be October 15<sup>th</sup> and March 15<sup>th</sup> of each year.

**IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**SUBJECT**

Mentoring/Professional Development Platform Update

**REFERENCE**

August 2013	Adopted recommendations of Taskforce for Improving Education which Included Recommendation #15, Mentoring
April 2018	Board adopted Idaho Mentor and Induction Program Standards
October 2021	Board updated on professional development being implemented and developed
October 2022	Board received a Teacher Mentor Program Update

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

§33-1201A, Idaho Code – Idaho Professional Endorsement Eligibility  
§33-512, Idaho Code – Duty of district trustees to provide mentoring to teachers in their first two years  
Annual Professional Development Appropriations Intent Language in Public Schools Budget  
Idaho Mentor and Induction Program Standards

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

Pursuant to Idaho Code § 33-512, the board of trustees of each school district shall have the following powers and duties: To provide support for teachers in their first two (2) years in the profession in the areas of: administrative and supervisory support, mentoring, peer assistance and professional development.

In FY25 the State Board of Education, in partnership with the State Department of Education, implemented The Idaho Mentoring Program. Today's presentation will include mid-year information about the progress and impact of the program.

The Idaho Mentoring Program provides new teachers in rural remote and rural distant LEAs with a mentor and cohort to reduce attrition and increase student achievement. The program, a partnership between the State Department of Education and the Office of the Idaho State Board of Education, serves Idaho-certified, in-service teachers currently practicing in Idaho public schools. Learn more by visiting [Idaho Mentoring Program / Content and Curriculum](#).

**IMPACT**

Each year, approximately 8 percent of teachers leave the (teaching) profession, and more than 50 percent quit teaching before reaching retirement. Nearly half of new teachers leave the classroom in their first five years, including 9.5 percent in

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
APRIL 16-17, 2025**

the first year alone. Teachers exiting the profession cite a lack of support as one of the top five reasons for leaving.

Whereas LEAs have full control over how a mentor program is designed and implemented in their district, it is recognized that many districts do not have an active program in place, leaving new teachers lacking sufficient support and may contribute to their leaving the profession in the first years of service. This creates a continuing dilemma for districts to provide highly qualified teachers for their schools.

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1 – Idaho Mentoring Program Update April 2025

**BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Informational item, no recommendation from Board staff needed.

**BOARD ACTION**

This item is for informational purposes only.



# Idaho Mentoring Program and Platform

## Evidence of Efficacy

### PURPOSE OF PROGRAM

To provide new teachers in rural remote and rural distant LEAs with a mentor and cohort to reduce attrition and increase student achievement.

### THE FRAMEWORK

Each month, Mentees complete a Pathway, utilizing the online platform, with guidance, support and feedback from their Mentor; each Pathway aligns with the school-year-calendar.

1. Each month, Mentors provide feedback to Mentees on their progress through the pathway (*see table below*), and offer support through monthly cohort meetings and 1:1's.
2. Mentors also receive monthly support, collaboration, and professional development through Mentor cohort meetings hosted by the IDE.
3. In addition to the state-facilitated program, The Idaho Mentoring Framework (aligned to the Danielson Framework) will be available to all LEAs throughout the state to modify and utilize in conjunction with their own mentoring programs.

Checklist	In the Classroom	Self-Care & Wellness	Did you know?	Discussion Board
Essential information relevant to every building in conjunction with the school calendar	Classroom Management & Instructional Strategies aligned with Danielson Framework including videos and additional resources	An exercise, reading, or activity to help prevent and combat teacher burn out	General topics to support new teachers with practical knowledge and information	Reflective of that month's pathway to extend learning and conversation

### QUANTITATIVE

- The Idaho Mentoring Program currently partners with 28 Mentors throughout all six regions of Idaho. Their collective expertise in all elementary grades, middle grades, and content specific secondary courses –ranging from special education, gifted and talented education, computer science, Advanced Placement and concurrent credit courses, English Learners education, physical education and electives— demonstrates the importance of





Mentors who understand the nuance of teaching at each grade level and each subject area.

The intentional and selective pairing of Mentors to Mentees based on content area, region and district demographics (e.g. rural and remote) has elicited strong rapport and partnership.

- Eighty-five (85), first through third year educators were referred to the Idaho Mentoring Program and Platform by administrators or district personnel since July of 2024.
- Fifty-six (56) of the referred educators have continued throughout the program's inaugural year; the Idaho Mentoring Project is currently serving teachers in all six regions of the state with an emphasis during its inaugural year on reaching new teachers in rural remote and rural distant LEAs.

## QUALITATIVE

### On a mid-year survey, 90% of surveyed participants would recommend the Idaho Mentoring Program to first-third year teachers.

- On 1:1 Meetings between Mentors and Mentees:
  - "I really like the zoom sessions with just my mentor."
  - "The one-on-one meetings are my favorite part. I think it gives time for the small questions I have."
  - "The one-to-one meetings work the best."
- On Cohort Meetings:
  - "The individual meetings are the best or the cohort meetings are 2nd best."
  - "I like being able to see how things are run at different schools. It opens up questions for me to ask in my own district."
  - "I like being able to communicate with my mentor and other teachers around Idaho. I think it is easy to get scared of speaking up when something is wrong, but when you see and hear that you are not alone it makes these challenges easier to navigate."
- "I do think the overall one on one conversations and cohort meetings can be helpful. It is good to feel like what is going on in my classroom is not unique. It's nice to have some insight on others' classrooms."



## RESPONSIVENESS

- In addition to our 24-25 Mentorship Cohort, we have provided additional support to educators who need content specific mentoring<sup>1</sup>.
- We have had requests from new educators as well as districts to join the 25-26 cohort.
- Based on a desire for additional support of their Mentors expressed by Mentees during a mid-year reflection, the Idaho Mentor Program initiated a successful Observation Experience.

This has enabled both Mentors and Mentees to travel throughout the state to improve teacher efficacy and retention.

- Grants have been fully customized to reflect regional travel, account for preparation and reflection, and provide professional development that is specific to the needs of the Mentee in their current teaching practice.
- Since awards were made available on March 10<sup>th</sup>, Mentors have already completed observation visits in support of Mentees.

---

<sup>1</sup> As a result, we will be planning and creating an additional framework for more experienced educators who may need content specific mentoring.

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
APRIL 16-17, 2025**

**SUBJECT**

FY 25 Accountability Oversight Committee Recommendations Report

**REFERENCE**

August 2017	The Board approved Idaho's ESSA Plan, including a new state and federal accountability system that utilizes multiple measures to identify schools.
December 2018	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2019 report with an analysis on the first year of implementation of the state's new K-12 school accountability system.
June 2020	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2020 report with recommendations regarding assessment and accountability based on review of the SDE's 2018-19 Student Achievement Report.
February 2021	The Board adopted recommendations from the AOC related to the state's high school accountability assessment, initiating the negotiated rulemaking process which led to changes to IDAPA 08.02.03.111.
April 2021	The Board adopted recommendations from the AOC to shift the accountability school quality measure to chronic absenteeism, initiating the negotiated rulemaking process which led to changes to IDAPA 08.02.03.112.
June 2021	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2021 report with recommendations to restructure future reports.
April 2022	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2022 report.
April 2023	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2023 report and supported use of the executive summary.
April 2024	The Board received the AOC's fiscal year 2024 report and supported use of the executive summary.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

Idaho State Board of Education Governing Policies and Procedures, Section I.Q.  
Accountability Oversight Committee  
Idaho Code § 33-110  
Idaho Administrative Code, IDAPA 08.02.03.111, 112, and 114

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

The Board's Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) was established in April 2010 as an ad-hoc committee. Board policy I.Q. assigns two responsibilities to the committee:

- a. Provide recommendations to the Board on the effectiveness of the statewide student achievement system and make recommendations on improvements and/or changes as needed.
- b. Develop and review an annual report of student achievement. This report shall be compiled collaboratively by the Board and State Department of

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS**  
**APRIL 16-17, 2025**

Education (SDE) staff and submitted to the committee for review. The committee will forward the report to the Board with recommendations annually.

The AOC's annual reports are focused on recommendations to the Board and SDE resulting from the committee's review and analysis of substantial data, as presented in annual SDE Student Achievement Reports. The data included at the request of the AOC includes standard measures given annually and additional data related to the subject-area focus for that year. For the 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report, the focus area was mathematics. As a result, the committee put particular emphasis on analyzing more detailed Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) Mathematics data.

To ensure the Board and public can identify the AOC's highest priority recommendations, the FY 25 AOC Recommendations Report (Attachment 1) includes a focused Executive Summary. With support from the Board, the AOC will publish the Executive Summary as a separate document for distribution and implementation. The full report focuses on recommendations based on the committee's analysis of student achievement data. The recommendations are divided between policy recommendations for the Board and implementation recommendations for SDE and are further separated between short-term and long-term actions. The FY 25 Accountability Report includes one appendix: Appendix A, the 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report.

The following recommendations and corresponding data from the FY 25 AOC Recommendations Report have been identified as the most critical for the Board to understand in depth:

**Literacy**

Priority Recommendation for the Board and Department (short-term):

- Given the lingering pandemic impacts on student cohorts, support LEAs through efforts to expand literacy resources into secondary grades so secondary students are identified and provided with effective interventions grounded in the science of reading.

**Middle Grades Math**

Priority Recommendation for the Board and Department (short-term):

- After the new Comprehensive Math Plan is approved, ensure immediate distribution, implementation, related training, and resource allocation.

**Chronic Absenteeism**

Priority Recommendation for the Board and Department (short-term):

- Continue to underscore the importance of attendance and ensure all parties understand and use common terminology and promote systemwide awareness and utilization of available resources to improve attendance.

**IMPACT**

The recommendations outlined in the FY 25 AOC Recommendations Report are intended to guide the Board and Department to adjust policies and practices to support improved student achievement in areas aligned to the Board’s strategic plan. Board staff and IDE staff will need to collaborate on next steps toward implementation, including distribution of the Executive Summary. As written, none of the priority recommendations impact statute or administrative code at this time. However, agency staff could determine that steps towards implementation necessitate change. If this occurs, staff will bring the suggested changes to the Board for approval through the appropriate process.

All other recommendations in the full report will be reviewed individually by the appropriate agency to determine timelines and appropriate actions. Any recommendations that impact statute or Administrative Code may be brought back to the Board for consideration as legislative ideas.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment 1 – FY 25 Accountability Oversight Committee Recommendations Report, March 2025, including Appendix A: 2023-24 Student Achievement Report
- Attachment 2 – Changes to the Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) Presentation

**BOARD STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Priority recommendations from this report are aligned to the Board’s strategic plan and ongoing priorities related to literacy, middle-grades math, and absenteeism. Board Staff recommends support for the priority recommendations presented in the Executive Summary of the FY 25 Accountability Oversight Committee Recommendations Report.

**BOARD ACTION**

This agenda item is for informational purposes only.

# ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE



## FY 25 Recommendations Report

March 2025

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Table of Contents

<b>SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>SECTION 2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>SECTION 3: RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Suggestions for Reading Section 3</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>General Recommendations</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>English Language Arts / Literacy and English Learning</b> .....	<b>10</b>
ELA / Literacy and English Learning Conclusions .....	10
ELA / Literacy and English Learning Recommendations .....	13
<b>Mathematics</b> .....	<b>14</b>
Math Conclusions .....	14
Math Recommendations.....	15
<b>High School – Graduation and Go On Rates</b> .....	<b>17</b>
High School Conclusions .....	17
High School Recommendations.....	19
<b>Enrollment and Attendance</b> .....	<b>21</b>
Enrollment and Attendance Conclusions .....	21
Enrollment and Attendance Recommendations.....	23
<b>SECTION 4: DATA ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<b>English Language Arts / Literacy and English Language Learning</b> .....	<b>24</b>
Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI).....	24
Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT), English Language Arts (ELA) .....	26
English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) .....	28
<b>Mathematics</b> .....	<b>29</b>
Idaho Standards Achievement Test, Math .....	29
<b>High School – Graduation and Go On Rates</b> .....	<b>32</b>
Graduation Rates.....	32
Go On Rates .....	33
<b>Enrollment and Attendance</b> .....	<b>34</b>
Enrollment.....	34
Attendance .....	34
<b>SECTION 5: ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>38</b>
<b>Appendix A: 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report</b>	

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

### Background

The Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC) was created in 2010 as an ad hoc committee of the State Board of Education (Board). The committee's membership is provided at the end of this report.

Per Board policy, the AOC is tasked with providing the Board with recommendations regarding the effectiveness of or need for changes to the statewide accountability system. Additionally, the committee is expected to annually review student achievement data and provide recommendations to the board.

This report is intended to build upon other data sources to aid the Board in understanding K-12 student achievement and to present the Board with short-term and long-term recommendations regarding how the state can continue to make progress. Per the AOC's FY 21 Recommendations Report, as approved by the Board in June 2021, the AOC reviews certain data in alternating years, with additional attention given to certain content areas each year (particularly English language arts (ELA) or mathematics). The FY 25 report has a mathematics focus.

The AOC, Board staff, and State Department of Education (SDE) staff collaborate on the development of the AOC Recommendations Report and the 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report located in Appendix A. On January 16 & 17 and February 10 & 26, 2025, the AOC reviewed the data included in the 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report and began developing this report. Each data review included time for analysis, discussion, and development of related recommendations to improve outcomes.

The AOC is presenting this report to the State Board of Education for consideration at the April 2025 meeting.



ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Report Structure

The following report is structured around key metrics of student achievement. The report has a mathematics emphasis.

A brief and focused Executive Summary is provided as Section 2. If approved by the Board, the Executive Summary will also be released as a stand-alone document for distribution to districts, schools, and partners. The Executive Summary provides the AOC's three priority recommendations paired with figures that summarize related data.

Section 3 provides the AOC's conclusions and recommendations. The conclusions represent a summary of the AOC's data interpretations with an emphasis on points of celebration and concern. The conclusions presented in Section 3 are based on the AOC's full analysis of the Student Achievement Report data, as provided in Section 4. The AOC's recommendations are presented after the conclusions, split between policy recommendations for the Board and implementation recommendations for the SDE. The recommendations are further separated between short-term and long-term actions and include notes to indicate if they are ongoing recommendations included in a previous AOC report.

Section 5 includes a list of AOC committee members and their affiliations.

## DISCLAIMER

This report is an internal working document of the Accountability Oversight Committee (AOC), an ad hoc committee of the Idaho State Board of Education. The recommendations presented here are the opinions of the AOC and not necessarily that of the Board unless explicitly accepted by them.

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## SECTION 2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this section is to provide a compact overview of the highest priority findings and recommendations found in the FY 25 AOC Recommendations Report, including Appendix A: 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report. Please see the full report for additional details.

### Positive Findings


- Having 67% of Idaho’s students scoring At Grade Level on the IRI is 7 percentage points above the expected distribution of 60% (based on the test’s norms).
- 64% of high school students scored proficient or advanced on the ISAT ELA in 2023-24, exceeding pre-pandemic rates by 4 percentage points.
- ISAT Math proficiency rebounded to 43% in 2023-24, 1 point shy of the pre-pandemic high.
- The 4 year graduation rate has increased 1 percentage point in each of the past two years, reaching an all-time high of 82.3% in 2023-24.
- The American Indian 5 year graduation rate increased from 70% in 2018-19 to an average of 74% over the past three years.
- After adequate attendance dropped from 87% in 2018-19 to 75% in 2021-22, the adequate attendance rate rebounded to 82% in 2023-24.

### Literacy

#### Priority Recommendation

Despite some post-pandemic recovery, due to its lasting impact on certain groups, ensure the Department helps LEAs expand literacy resources into secondary grades to identify and support struggling students with effective interventions grounded in the science of reading.

**Figure 1: Pre- and Post- Pandemic Comparison of Percentage of ISAT ELA Proficient + Advanced, Grades 3 to 6**



#### Findings

- This figure compares the performance of students in different cohorts.
- The students who tested in grades 3 to 6 in 2024 were in grades K to 3 during the most pandemic impacted school year (2020-21).
- Across all groups, 2023-24 ISAT ELA performance was 2 to 3 percentage points lower than their pre-pandemic peers.


ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Middle Grades Math

### Priority Recommendation

After the new Comprehensive Math Plan is approved, collaborate with the Department to ensure immediate distribution, implementation, related training, and resource allocation.

**Figure 2: 2023-24 Grade 7 (n=19,264) and Grade 11 (n=14,917)  
 Longitudinal Average ISAT Math Scale Score vs. Proficiency**



### Findings


- This figures shows performance of matched cohorts.
- The performance gap between cohorts' mean scale score and the proficiency cut begins to diverge at grade 4 and widens as students continue through the system.

## Chronic Absenteeism

### Priority Recommendation

Continue to underscore the importance of attendance and ensure all parties (e.g., Board, Department, LEAs) understand and use common terminology and promote systemwide awareness and utilization of available resources to improve attendance.

**Figure 3: Impact of Absenteeism on IRI Scores, 2023-24 by Grade**



### Findings

- Average IRI scale scores increase in relationship to attendance.
- Data in the full report shows this holds across other metrics (ISAT ELA and Math, HS graduation).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## SECTION 3: RECOMMENDATIONS

### Suggestions for Reading Section 3

The first subsection of Section 3 contains General Recommendations that cut across more than one accountability area. This is a new section of this report and was developed to eliminate unnecessary repetition.

Prior to reading the remaining subsections, we recommend readers first review the Associated Analysis and Associated Data lists directly under the header of each subsection. The Associated Analysis guides readers to the data analyses in Section 4 and the Associated Data references the relevant figures found in the 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (Appendix A). Reviewing this relevant information will prepare readers to process the conclusions and recommendations contained in each subsection. To further guide readers, the relevant SDE Student Achievement Report figures and tables are listed within the body of the following subsections so readers can quickly revisit them as they read.

#### Recommendations Definitions

Based on the AOC's experience with the time and resources it takes to implement recommendations, the following definitions are used when referring to Short-term Actions and Long-term Actions in the Recommendations tables in Section 3.

- ✓ Short-term Actions: Work on this recommendation should begin as soon as possible, with the goal that the recommendation be completed within approximately two (2) years after Board approval.
- ✓ Long-term Actions: While planning can begin sooner, these are recommendations that generally are expected to take more than two (2) years to come to fruition. Sometimes, these recommendations first require the completion of a Short-term Action.

### Important Data Consideration

For all categories of data, there have been noticeable changes in the group (n) size for Economically Disadvantaged students. Pre-pandemic numbers were much larger (by 10,000+) than during the pandemic (i.e., 2020-21 & 2021-22). In 2022-23 and 2023-24, the number of Economically Disadvantaged students increased, but did not return to pre-pandemic highs. This is primarily attributable to difficulty in accurately identifying students for this category. During the past decade, the number of schoolwide Title I schools has increased. Schoolwide Title I schools provide free lunch for all students, and families are not required to complete free and reduced lunch forms. Additionally, during the pandemic, free lunch was provided for all students across all schools, regardless of their Title I status. These changes made it more challenging for schools to accurately identify students as economically disadvantaged.

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

**Recommendations - General (not content specific)**

Policy Recommendations – State Board of Education

**Short-term Actions**

1. Request data regarding the use of the state’s professional development/mentoring platform and whether it includes sharing of best instructional practices.
2. Continue systematic collection and analyses of data regarding the impact of expanded full-time kindergarten in the state (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
3. During the ISEE remodel, conduct focus groups with educators to identify the data they prefer to have directly available to them to inform instruction.
4. Research a valid and reliable metric to identify students facing economic disadvantage, ensuring the data gathering process is consistent and manageable for LEAs (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

**Long-term Actions**

1. Expand partnerships with stakeholder groups to focus on subgroup gap closure across student outcomes (IRI, ISAT, graduation rates, etc.).
2. If the state adopts a new process for identifying students facing economic disadvantage, integrate the data gathering into the SLDS/ISEE remodel (FY 24 Rec).

Implementation Recommendations – State Department of Education

**Short-term Actions**

1. Track cohorts and expand implementation support to ensure students impacted by the pandemic receive interventions.
2. Build upon previous efforts to engage districts and schools in quality, ongoing, focused professional development to improve instruction (expansion of FY 20, FY 22, FY 23, FY 24 Rec).
  - a. Support districts and schools in identifying how to use IRI, ISAT, and other data to formulate strategic interventions for specific student subgroups.
    - Integrate data literacy within data-related trainings.

**Long-term Actions**

1. If the state adopts a new process for identifying students facing economic disadvantage, provide support to LEAs to ensure fidelity in the data collection process (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- Guide LEAs and schools to identify and provide interventions and supports to students with multiple risk factors.
  - b. Promote use of appropriate tools to inform instruction, including formative assessment, ISAT interims, and Tools for Teachers.
  - c. Professional development needs to be embedded and connected to content standards.
  - d. Ensure professional development is appropriately differentiated by role (i.e. superintendent vs. teacher).
3. Identify and facilitate sharing of best practices to and between LEAs around key topics, including systematic approaches to address summer learning loss, post-pandemic accelerated learning, secondary interventions, and full-time kindergarten.
4. Identify highly effective LEAs and schools. Recognize them and share their strategies (expansion of FY 22, FY 23, FY 24 Rec).
- a. Identify LEAs and schools performing above expectations set by the state based on their demographics.
  - b. Identify LEAs and schools making substantial improvement.
  - c. Identify LEAs and schools performing above state goals.
5. Work with the Board to find a valid and reliable metric to identify students facing economic disadvantage (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## English Language Arts/Literacy and English Learning

### Conclusions: Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI)

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 24-26

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 2-6 & 8, pgs. 14-19

#### Data Considerations:

- Only 2022-23 and 2023-24 data are included in this report because of changes made to the IRI during 2022-23. Because of the changes, longitudinal comparisons can only now be made beginning with 2022-23 data.

#### Conclusions:

- Having 67% of Idaho's students scoring At Grade Level is 7 percentage points above the expected distribution. If Idaho's performance was identical to the norms, the At Grade Level percentage would be 60% (fig. 2).
- For the All Students group and students disaggregated by grade, comparisons between spring 2023 and spring 2024 revealed the same or slightly higher percentages of students performing At Grade Level (figs. 2 & 3).
- In reviewing both fall and spring scores across multiple years, summer slide becomes apparent, with rates of At Grade Level performance decreasing 4 to 8 percentage points between spring and the following fall (fig. 3).
- In spring 2024, 11 of 16 subgroups underperformed relative to the All Students group. In most instances the underperformance was substantial and only minimal changes occurred between spring 2023 and spring 2024 (figs. 4-6).
- A student's 3<sup>rd</sup> grade spring IRI score generally predicts the student's 3<sup>rd</sup> grade spring ISAT ELA Reading subscore. However, since the correlation is moderate, IRI scores are not predictive of ISAT reading scores for all 3<sup>rd</sup> grade students (fig. 8).

### Conclusions: ISAT English Language Arts (ELA)

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 26-28

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 9-13, pgs. 22-26

#### Data Considerations:

- After a gap in testing in 2019-20, for the following two years (2020-21 and 2021-22), Idaho used a shortened test that was approximately half the length of the full test. However, in 2022-23, Idaho used the full test. We do not know the extent to which

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

lowered scores in 2022-23 are reflective of test fatigue due to the longer test and/or a latent pandemic impact.

- In 2022-23, Idaho moved the high school assessment from 10<sup>th</sup> grade to 11<sup>th</sup> grade. However, students are allowed to take the assessment earlier in high school and bank Proficient or Advanced scores for use in 11<sup>th</sup> grade. It is currently impossible to know the impact this may have on high school scores going forward.
- Many of the figures included in the 2023-24 Student Achievement Report show student performance disaggregated by performance category, but also include a “Total Proficient” rate. The Total Proficient rate is the sum of the percentages of students who scored Proficient and those who scored Advanced.

### Conclusions:

- Year-over-year high school performance remains strong and exceeds pre-pandemic performance (60% combined total Proficient and Advanced before the pandemic, 65% in 2022-23, and 64% in 2023-24) (fig. 10).
- Prior to the pandemic, there was a consistent, small upward trend in performance. The post-pandemic trend initially appeared to be positive with an all-time high achieved in 2021-22; but after a drop in 2022-23, performance did not return in 2023-24 to the all-time high (fig. 9).
- Below Basic percentages hit an all-time high of 25% in 2022-23 and then retreated to 24% in 2023-24. These highs represent a potentially important shift, since Below Basic percentages had remained between 22-23% for the previous seven years (fig. 9).
- Gaps between subgroups and their reference groups remain. While 54% of the All Students group scored Proficient or Advanced in 2023-24, most subgroups had combined Proficient and Advanced rates of less than 50% (figs. 12 & 13).
  - While gaps remain, it is notable that 3 subgroups (American Indian, Black/African American, and SPED) experienced post-pandemic performance drops, but recovered by 2023-24 to meet or exceed their pre-pandemic (2018-19) combined Proficient and Advanced rates.

### Conclusions: English Language Proficiency Assessment

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 28-29

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 17 & 21, pgs. 30 & 32

### Data Considerations:

- Idaho adjusted (lowered) the cut scores needed for students to exit English Learner (EL) programs in 2019-20, resulting in many more students “testing out” of the programs. As



ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

a result, the 2020-21 cohort of students and all subsequent cohorts as well were substantially different since higher performing students had tested out.

- Since modified exit criteria were implemented during the pandemic, it is impossible to know how much change in scores since 2020-21 is a result of the change in exit criteria vs. pandemic impacts.

**Conclusions:**

- The All Grades English Learner performance level percentages were quite consistent from 2020-21 to 2022-23, but the percentage of students scoring at Proficiency Level 1 (Entering) increased from 15% in 2022-23 to 21% in 2023-24 (fig 17).
  - The number of students who took the English Language Proficiency Assessment increased from 16,904 in 2022-23 to 19,492 in 2023-24. This represents a 15% year-over-year increase in the population (fig. 17).
- The percentage of English Learners achieving their annual growth targets increased from 48.1% in 2020-21 to 55.9% in 2022-23. However, the rate dropped to 45.8% in 2023-24, the lowest level since 2017-18 (fig. 21).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Recommendations - ELA/Literacy and English Learning

### Policy Recommendations – State Board of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. Maintain the commitment to K-3 Literacy and the science of reading (FY 22, FY 23, FY 24 Rec).
2. Identify resources and supports to provide interventions through the secondary grades for the cohorts of students (SY 2025 4<sup>th</sup> - 7<sup>th</sup> graders) whose foundational reading instruction was interrupted.
  - a. In collaboration with the Department, work to expand the use of reading screeners into middle school.

#### Long-term Actions

1. Depending on changes to federal law and guidance, if possible, submit a waiver to request that English Learners be exempted from the ISAT ELA for their first 2 years in country (expanding the existing 1 year exemption).
2. As the new IRI is implemented, review Grade 3 testing to determine if the IRI provides sufficient value and data to support maintaining spring IRI administration.

### Implementation Recommendations – State Department of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. In preparation for the new IRI, work with the Board and stakeholders to determine the appropriate use of the norm- and criterion- referenced scores.
2. Provide guidance to LEAs about how to identify secondary students who need reading support and implement best practices for secondary reading interventions (Dyslexia Handbook could be a resource).

#### Long-term Actions

1. Maintain high quality professional development on the science of reading and use of IRI and ISAT Claim level data (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. While developing the new ISAT aligned to Idaho's updated academic content standards, work with the vendor(s) to create a plan to report computer adaptive test (CAT) and performance task (PT) scores separately.

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Mathematics

### Conclusions: ISAT Math

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 29-31

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 24, 25, & 29-31, pgs. 36-37 & 42-43

#### Data Considerations:

- After a gap in testing in 2019-20, for the following two years (2020-21 & 2021-22), Idaho used a shortened test that was approximately half the length. In 2022-23, Idaho used the full test and in 2023-24, Idaho returned to the shortened test. We do not know the extent to which lowered scores in 2022-23 are reflective of test fatigue due to the longer test and/or a latent pandemic impact.
- In 2022-23, Idaho moved the high school assessment from 10<sup>th</sup> grade to 11<sup>th</sup> grade. However, students are allowed to take the assessment earlier in high school and bank Proficient or Advanced scores for use in 11<sup>th</sup> grade. It is currently impossible to know the impact this may have on high school scores going forward.
- Many of the figures included in the 2023-24 Student Achievement Report show student performance disaggregated by performance category, but also include a “Total Proficient” rate. The Total Proficient rate is the sum of the percentage of students who scored Proficient and those who scored Advanced.

#### Conclusions:

- Multiple analyses have demonstrated that a gap between grade level expectations and student performance begins in 4<sup>th</sup> grade and widens as students progress through the system (figs. 25 & 29-31).
  - The percentage of students who score Proficient or Advanced is highest in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade and lowest in high school (fig. 25).
- After a 4 percentage point decrease in the combined percentage of Proficient and Advanced during the pandemic, the combined Proficient and Advanced rate rebounded to 43% in 2023-24. This is just 1 percentage point below the 2018-19 pre-pandemic high of 44% (fig. 24).
- The post-pandemic percentages of students scoring Below Basic have increased as much as 4 percentage points from pre-pandemic levels and appear to be holding steady (fig. 24).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Recommendations – Mathematics

### Policy Recommendations – State Board of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. Support the work group developing Idaho’s first Comprehensive Math Plan.
  - a. Ensure the plan includes resource lists for educators, including details about available math screeners and diagnostic assessments.
  - b. Outline optional resources for accelerating math instruction and providing interventions, including those provided by the regional math centers and other evidence-based programs/strategies.
  - c. After the plan is approved, collaborate with the Department to ensure immediate distribution and implementation of related training.

#### Long-term Actions

1. Develop budgets and engage with the legislature to request resources to implement the recommendations of the 2023 Math Work Group and 2025 Comprehensive Math Plan (expansion of FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. Convene a work group to develop a guide to support LEAs in creating and implementing comprehensive, results-driven math strategic plans at the LEA and school levels.
3. In collaboration with the Department, review the definition of “hard to fill positions” to support incentives for LEAs to hire highly qualified math teachers at all grades.
4. Review the data provided by the Department regarding use of instructional hours and consider whether instructional hours should be expanded and/or if additional guidance should be given to LEAs regarding effective use of instructional time.

### Implementation Recommendations – State Department of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. After Board approval, disseminate the Comprehensive Math Plan and develop and implement related professional development.
2. Identify and expand the regional math centers’ programs and strategies with demonstrated results.
3. Support educators in understanding and engaging their students in the depth and rigor of the math standards.

#### Long-term Actions

1. With support of the Board, ensure plans are developed to implement the recommendations of the 2023 Math Work Group and the 2025 Comprehensive Math Plan (expansion of FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. Work with the Board to engage with the legislature to request resources to implement the recommendations of the 2023 Math Work Group and the 2025 Comprehensive Math Plan (expansion of FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

**ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT**

4. Encourage LEAs to evaluate their math-related professional development to ensure effectiveness.
  5. Promote on-grade level core math instruction for all students, including students in special education, ELs & Title I (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
  6. Conduct research into instructional hours requirements for math in other states and common practices currently used by Idaho LEAs to set instructional time. Report the findings to the Board.
3. While developing the new ISAT aligned to Idaho’s updated academic content standards, work with the vendor(s) to create a plan to report computer adaptive test (CAT) and performance task (PT) scores separately.

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## High School - Graduation and Go On Rates

### Conclusions: Graduation Rates

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 32-33

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 33-37, pgs. 45-49

#### Data Considerations:

- The cohort graduation rate model is established in federal law and has specific requirements regarding students who are and are not included in a cohort. It is important to note that students who leave their cohort but pursue and receive a grade equivalency diploma (GED) or high school equivalency exam (HSE) are considered dropouts. Thus, a 100% graduation rate is not achievable unless a state fully eliminates this path for high school students.

#### Conclusions:

- 4 year graduation rates are trending upward from their post-pandemic lows, increasing about 1 percentage point per year for each of the past two years, hitting an all-time high of 82.3% in 2023-24 (fig. 33).
- 5 year graduation rates also appear to be trending upward from post-pandemic lows, but have not exceeded pre-pandemic rates yet (fig. 33).
- When looking at highest 4 year graduation rates across 2020-21 to 2023-24, out of 16 groups of students grouped by race/ethnicity, gender, and other characteristics, in 2023-24 11 groups achieved their highest graduation percentage, 4 groups were roughly equal to their highest, and 1 group was below but near their highest (figs. 34 & 35).
  - Most student subgroups continue to exhibit lower 4 and 5 year graduation rates (some substantially so) when compared to the All Students group (figs. 34 & 35 and 36 & 37).
  - A standout is American Indians/Alaskan Natives. The group's 5 year cohort graduation averaged 74% over the past three years, an increase of 4 percentage points above their pre-pandemic level of 70% in 2018-19 (fig. 36).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

**Conclusions: Go On Rates**

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 33-34

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 38-40, pgs. 51-52

**Data Considerations:**

- The current process for gathering data included in the Go On rates necessitates a one-year delay in this metric. As a result, the most recent 1 year Go On rates are for the 2022-23 graduates who pursued postsecondary education in the 2023-24 school year, and the most recent 3 year Go On rates are for 2020-21 graduates who pursued opportunities in 2021-22, 2022-23, or 2023-24.
- There has been a nationwide decrease in the percentage of high school graduates pursuing higher education during and post-pandemic. The decline in Go On rates since 2018 (from 69% to 62%) has been significant.<sup>1</sup>

**Conclusions:**

- After dropping from 50% for the 2018-19 graduates, the All Students 1 year Go On rate was stable (44-46%) between 2019-20 and 2022-23 (fig. 38).
  - Differences in 1 Year Go On rates persist between subgroups and the All Students group (fig. 39 & 40).
- 3 Year Go On rates for the All Students group decreased from 64% for 2015-16 graduates to a low of 53% for the 2019-20 graduates. 3 year rates increased by 1 percentage point to 54% for the 2020-21 graduates (fig. 38).
- More years of data (both 1 year and 3 year) are needed to understand post-pandemic Go On rate trends (fig. 38).
- The current Go On Rate data does not allow for a clear understanding of what students are doing after high school, as additional contextual data is needed.

---

<sup>1</sup> NCES, 2023

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

**Recommendations – High School – Graduation and Go On Rates**

Policy Recommendations – State Board of Education

**Short-term Actions**

1. Continue to expand efforts to use Next Steps Idaho, college and career advising, and other initiatives to encourage students to graduate from high school and pursue post-secondary options (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. Expand the contextual data reported about students’ postsecondary choices to include some of the following (FY 24 Rec):
  - % of high school graduates who earned associate degrees before graduation
  - % of high school graduates who earned certificates before graduation
  - % of high school graduates who go on to pursue certificates (1 yr +)
  - % of high school graduates who enroll in an apprenticeship
  - % of high school graduates who go on to a community college
  - % of high school graduates who go on to a 4 year college or university

**Long-term Actions**

1. Engage with the SDE to collaboratively develop a dropout prevention plan (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. As a part of the SLDS/ISEE remodel, create standardized codes for common high school courses, credit given (full, partial, incomplete), and course recovery (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
3. Establish an updated Go-On rate goal for Idaho based on clearly defined and accessible criteria.



ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

Implementation Recommendations – State Department of Education

**Short-term Actions**

1. Direct LEAs to establish early warning systems to identify students at risk for dropping out, coupled with robust interventions and supports for students (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
  - a. Gather evidence regarding LEAs' early warning systems and dropout prevention efforts. Identify best practices. Present research and recommendations to the Board (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
  - b. Guide LEAs to leverage absenteeism data and supports as a key early warning sign for dropout prevention (FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. Provide outreach and professional development to LEAs to support the Board's efforts to report more diverse data about students' post-secondary choices (FY 24 Rec).

**Long-term Actions**

1. Implement the dropout prevention plan, as collaboratively developed by Board and SDE (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Enrollment and Attendance

### Conclusions: Enrollment

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pg. 34

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figure 1, pg. 11

#### Conclusions

- Idaho total public school enrollment has held relatively steady since 2021-22 (fig. 1).
  - Enrollment dropped by 1,647 students to 302,910 in 2020-21 but then increased to 308,325 in 2021-22.
  - Enrollment has remained around 308,000 to 309,000 students during the last three years (2021-22, 2022-23, & 2023-24).

### Conclusions: Attendance

**Associated Analysis:** AOC Recommendations Report, Section 4, pgs. 34-36

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 43-46 & 48-51, pgs. 55 & 63-62

#### Attendance Definitions

The following definitions for attendance are used in this report, as aligned to the *Attendance Works* model:

- ✓ Adequate Attendance: 91% to 100% attendance
- ✓ Chronically Absent: 81 to 90% attendance
- ✓ Severely Chronically Absent: 80% or lower attendance

#### Data Considerations

- The definition of “chronically absent” and “severely chronically absent” include absences for any reason, including excused absences (based on district or school policy).

#### Conclusions

- In pre-pandemic 2018-19, 87% of Idaho students had adequate attendance. Adequate attendance dropped to a low of 75% in 2021-22 but has since rebounded to 82% in 2023-24 (fig. 43).
- There is a clear relationship between attendance and performance on the IRI and ISAT. At all grade levels, average test scores improved in relationship with attendance rates (fig. 48-50).

**ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT**

- Not only does attendance predict IRI and ISAT mean scale scores, it also predicts high school graduation. 92% of 2024 graduates had adequate attendance during their 9<sup>th</sup> grade year. Only 71% of non-graduates in this cohort had adequate attendance during their 9<sup>th</sup> grade year (fig. 51).
- Between 2021-22 and 2023-24, differences in adequate attendance varied across the three grade bands by only 1-2 percentage points, meaning that no grade band can be singled out for having substantially higher or lower adequate attendance (fig. 44).
  - While a few subgroups were near to, met, or exceeded the 2023-24 All Students adequate attendance rate of 82%, the majority (9 of 15 subgroups) had attendance rates that were notably lower (fig. 45 & 46).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Recommendations – Enrollment and Attendance

### Policy Recommendations – State Board of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. In partnership with the Department, establish common definitions and policies to ensure consistent collection of attendance data.
2. Continue to support attendance-related resources including (but not limited to) [Attendance Works](#), [Safe and Civil Schools](#), and the [National Ad Council](#) (expanded FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
3. Continue to underscore the importance of attendance and ensure all parties (Board, SDE, LEAs) understand its relationship to achievement and graduation (expanded FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

#### Long-term Actions

1. As needed, adjust the SLDS/ISEE to align to attendance data collection policies.
2. Develop budget plans that address sustainability of funding to LEAs for implementing strategies to reduce chronic absenteeism (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

### Implementation Recommendations – State Department of Education

#### Short-term Actions

1. Continue to provide districts and schools with professional development and data regarding the impact attendance has on student outcomes and recommend strategies to improve attendance (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).
2. Work with the Board to establish common definitions and policies to improve consistency of attendance data collection. Ensure LEAs implement new attendance data policies and procedures.
3. Support LEAs in understanding how to integrate attendance into their multi-tiered systems of support and schoolwide information systems.
4. Continue to build awareness and knowledge of the inclusion of chronic absenteeism in the state's accountability framework (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

#### Long-term Actions

1. Work with the Board to support development of budgets to sustain funding to LEAs for implementation of strategies to reduce chronic absenteeism (FY 22, FY 23 & FY 24 Rec).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## SECTION 4: DATA ANALYSIS

### Important Data Consideration

For all categories of data, there have been noticeable changes in the group (n) size for Economically Disadvantaged students. Pre-pandemic numbers were much larger, by 10,000 or more, than during the two years most affected by the pandemic (i.e., 2020-21 & 2021-22). The number of Economically Disadvantaged students, however, increased during 2022-23 and 2023-24 but did not return to pre-pandemic highs. This is primarily attributable to difficulty in accurately identifying students for this category for two specific reasons. First, during the past decade or so, the number of schools identified as schoolwide Title I schools has increased. When schools are identified for schoolwide Title I, lunch is provided free for all students and families are not asked to complete free and reduced lunch forms. Second, during the pandemic (2020-21 and 2021-22), free lunch was provided to all students across all schools, regardless of their Title I status. These changes made it more challenging for schools to accurately identify students as economically disadvantaged.

### English Language Arts/Literacy

#### Data Analysis: Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI)

##### Data Considerations:

- Idaho’s vendor for the IRI, Istation, made two significant changes to the test between 2021-22 and 2022-23.
  - o For 2022-23, Istation re-normed their test, which adjusted the expectations for students to be identified at a certain percentile, and therefore, to fall into each performance category (At Grade Level, Near Grade Level, Below Grade Level).
  - o For 2022-23, Istation developed a continuous scale for their test, thus adjusting the scale score ranges for each performance category.

##### IRI

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 2-8, pgs. 14-19

##### Data Analysis

- The All Students (K-3) Spring IRI At Grade Level percentages essentially held steady at 66% in 2023 and 67% in 2024 (fig. 2).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- Given that the IRI is a norm-referenced test with students above the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile being considered At Grade Level, having 67% of Idaho's students scoring At Grade Level is 7 percentage points above the expected distribution. If Idaho's performance was identical to the norms, 60% of the All Students group would score At Grade Level, but in spring 2024 67% did (fig. 2).
- Individual grade levels are moving sideways with no appreciable ups or downs for the past two years under the new norms (fig. 3).
- Roughly two-thirds of K-2 students perform At Grade Level in the spring. Grade 3 has a higher percentage doing so with 69-70% scoring At Grade Level (fig. 3).
- When comparing spring 2023 and fall 2023 scores summer slide becomes apparent, with rates of student proficiency decreasing 4 to 8 percentage points between spring and the following fall. It is important to note that the data reviewed is not true cohort (matched data), so additional analysis would be beneficial to have a clearer understanding of the extent of the issue (fig. 3).
- In both spring 2023 and spring 2024, 10 out of 14 subgroups of students (grouped by race/ethnicity or other criteria) underperformed relative to the All Students group. In most instances underperformance was substantial, and no evidence of gap closure emerged (figs. 4 & 5).
- Due to the re-norming and re-scaling of the IRI, there are only two years of student subgroup performance (i.e., race/ethnicity & student group) data that is comparable. Additional years of data are needed to understand trends (figs. 4 & 5).
  - 4 of the 14 subgroups had spring 2024 At Grade Level rates that were 2 percentage points higher than their spring 2023 rates. 2 groups had increases of 5 percentage points.
  - 5 of the 14 subgroups had spring 2024 At Grade Level rates that were within plus or minus 1 percentage point of their spring 2023 rate.
  - 1 subgroup had a spring 2024 At Grade Level rate that was 2 percentage points lower than 2023, and 2 groups had no change in scores.
- In both spring 2023 and spring 2024, the At Grade Level percentage of females was two percentage points higher than the At Grade Level percentage of males (67% versus 65% in spring 2023 and 68% versus 66% in spring 2024) (fig. 6).
  - For both spring 2023 and spring 2024, male and female Near Grade Level percentages were nearly identical (17-18%).
  - For both spring 2023 and spring 2024, 18% of males were Below Grade Level whereas 15% of females were.
- When examining the performance of groups of students moving from spring of one year to spring of the next year, patterns of annual growth emerge. Please note: these are not

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

true cohorts of students but instead groups of students that may be different from one year to the next since students move in and out (fig. 7).

- 62% of spring 2023 1<sup>st</sup> graders were At Grade Level, while 66% of this group scored At Grade Level when assessed in spring of their 2<sup>nd</sup> grade year. This year-over-year 4 percentage point increase reveals growth in this group of students.
  - A similar 4 percentage point gain occurred between a group of spring 2023 2<sup>nd</sup> graders who were then assessed again spring 2024 as 3<sup>rd</sup> graders. The percentage At Grade Level increased from 66% to 70%.
  - A gain did not occur in a group of spring 2023 kindergarteners when the group was assessed again in spring 2024 as 1<sup>st</sup> graders. Whereas 65% of the kindergarteners were At Grade Level, 64% of the 1<sup>st</sup> graders were. This does not equate to a loss but instead that these groups of students remained at similar overall performance levels across the spring to spring span of time.
- A moderate correlation was found between 3<sup>rd</sup> grade spring IRI scores and 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ISAT ELA Reading Claim scores ( $r=.65$ ) (fig 8).
- In other words, a student's 3<sup>rd</sup> grade spring IRI score generally predicts the student's 3<sup>rd</sup> grade spring ISAT ELA Reading Claim score. An example of what is meant by "generally" in this context follows: a 3<sup>rd</sup> grade student scoring At Grade Level on the spring IRI will most likely score Proficient or Advanced on the spring 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ISAT ELA Reading Claim.
  - Since the correlation is not large but moderate, there are exceptions to this rule and thus not all students' performance on the spring 3<sup>rd</sup> grade IRI correctly predicts their 3<sup>rd</sup> grade ISAT ELA Reading Claim score.
  - Additional analyses could quantify in greater detail the prediction accuracy between the two assessments and may be particularly valuable if additional changes are made to the assessment.

## Data Analysis: Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) ELA

### ISAT ELA

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 9-13, pgs. 22-26

#### **Data Considerations:**

- After a gap in testing in 2019-20, for the following two years (2020-21 and 2021-22), Idaho used a shortened blueprint with a computer adaptive test that is approximately half the length of the full blueprint. However, in 2022-23, Idaho used the full (longer) blueprint. We do not know the extent to which lowered scores in 2022-23 are reflective of test fatigue due to the longer test and/or a latent pandemic impact. Thus, when

**ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT**

longitudinal comparisons are made in this report, 2021-22 data is the last year considered since 2023-24 data is needed to provide context to the 2022-23 scores.

- Given the blueprint changes, more years of data are needed to re-establish consistent monitoring of post-pandemic trends.
- In 2022-23, Idaho moved the high school assessment from 10<sup>th</sup> grade to 11<sup>th</sup> grade; however, students are still allowed to take the assessment in the 10<sup>th</sup> grade and bank their scores for use in 11<sup>th</sup> grade. Thus, students whose 10<sup>th</sup> grade score is Proficient or Advanced using 11<sup>th</sup> grade criteria can use that score in place of taking the test again during 11<sup>th</sup> grade. The impact this may have on high school scores going forward is unknown since students who choose to use the score they earn during 10<sup>th</sup> grade might have scored higher because of additional schooling and maturation if they had waited until their 11<sup>th</sup> grade year to take the assessment.

**Data Analysis**

- Total Proficient or Advanced for the All Students All Grades group hit an all-time high of 56% in 2021-22. After a drop to 52% in 2022-23, the percentage moved back up to 54% in 2023-24. It is unknown how much of the 2022-23 drop was due to pandemic effects or the longer test administered in 2022-23 (fig. 9).
- The percentage of students Below Basic increased 3 percentage points to an all-time high of 25% in 2022-23. It is unknown how much this change was due to pandemic effects or the longer test administered in 2022-23. The percentage of Below Basic students improved by 1 percentage point to 24% in 2023-24 (fig. 9).
- Year-over-year high school performance remains strong and exceeds pre-pandemic performance (60% combined Proficient and Advanced before the pandemic, 65% in 2022-23, and 64% in 2023-24) (fig. 10).
- Grade 8 had the same combined Proficient and Advanced rate (54%) in 2023-24 as 2018-19 (fig. 10).
- When comparing 2018-19 to 2023-24, percentages of students scoring Below Basic have increased 2 to 4 percentage points for all grades except high school (fig. 10).
- Grade level groups of students were followed each year from 2018-19 until either their last year of ISAT ELA testing or 2023-24 occurred, whichever came first. 3<sup>rd</sup> through 8<sup>th</sup> grade groups were followed from 2018-19 onward. This particular analysis was conducted to try to detect patterns of performance within the grade level groups that might be due to pandemic effects. No such patterns were found, however (fig. 11).
- Performance gaps remain between subgroups and the All Students group. While the All Students group had a combined Proficient and Advanced rate of 54% in 2023-24, students in most subgroups had substantially less than 50% combined Proficient and Advanced (figs. 12 & 13).



**ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT**

- Out of 14 groups, four (i.e., Asian, Two or More Races, White, & Students of Military Families) had higher overall performance than the All Students group.
- While gaps remain, it is notable that 3 subgroups (i.e., American Indian, Black/African American, and Students with Disabilities) had decreased performance post-pandemic but have now recovered to meet or exceed their pre-pandemic (2018-19) combined Proficient and Advanced rates.

**Data Analysis: English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA)**

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 14, 17, & 19-23, pgs. 28-33

**Data Considerations:**

- Idaho adjusted (lowered) the cut scores needed for students to exit English Learner (EL) programs in 2019-20, resulting in many more students “testing out” of the programs. As a result, the 2020-21 cohort of students and all subsequent cohorts were substantially different since higher performing students had tested out.
- Since modified exit criteria were implemented during the pandemic, it is impossible to know the degree to which changes in scores since 2020-21 are a result of the change in exit criteria vs. pandemic impacts.

**Data Analysis**

- The Spanish language remains by far the most common language spoken by English language learners in Idaho. 73% of all EL students speak Spanish (fig. 14)
- The number of students who took the English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) increased from 16,904 in 2022-23 to 19,492 in 2023-24. This represents a 15% year-over-year increase in the population (fig. 17).
- The All Grades English Learner performance level percentages were quite consistent from 2020-21 to 2022-23, but the percentage of students scoring at Proficiency Level 1: Entering increased from 15% in 2022-23 to 21% in 2023-24. It is possible the change is at least partially attributable to the large increase in the EL population during 2023-24 which might have had a larger than normal number of entry level students with minimal English skills (fig. 17).
- Yearly percentages of EL students exiting their language development programs have remained relatively steady since 2020-21 at 9-10%. But what this number should be in order to evaluate overall program effectiveness has not been determined (fig. 19).
- Between 2020-21 and 2023-24 across kindergarten to 4<sup>th</sup> grade there was steady and quite pronounced growth in the percentage of EL students achieving English language proficiency (fig. 20).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- Roughly 1-2% do so in kindergarten, rising to a high of 25-30% in 4<sup>th</sup> grade. In 5<sup>th</sup> grade the yearly percentages decrease to roughly 20-24%.
  - Percentages dramatically drop to 2-5% in 6<sup>th</sup> grade, but then begin a small rise to 5-8% in 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> grade followed by a gradual drop across 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> ending with 2-4% in 12<sup>th</sup> grade, almost back to kindergarten levels.
- Since the cut scores were adjusted in 2019-20, the percentage of English Learners achieving their annual growth targets increased from 48.1% in 2020-21 to 55.9% in 2022-23. However, the rate dropped to 45.8% in 2023-24 (fig. 21).
- Percentages of EL students achieving growth targets are greatest in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> grade with percentages ranging between 80-95% depending on the year (fig. 22).
  - Percentages then trend downward until 5<sup>th</sup> grade where 40-65% achieve growth targets. There is a large drop to roughly 18-22% in 6<sup>th</sup> followed by a slight rise to 18-25% in 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>. Percentages then hold relatively steady across 9<sup>th</sup> through 11<sup>th</sup> at 20-27% and then drop to 13-20% in 12<sup>th</sup> grade (fig. 22).
- The WIDA Alternate Access assessment for EL students with disabilities was administered for the first time in 2023-24 (fig. 23).
- 157 students completed all sections of the assessment.
  - All performance levels were reflected in the results.
  - 72% of the students performed at the PL3-Developing category. This is in contrast to 40% of the General Access WIDA students performing at this level.
  - 46% of the students performed at PL1-Entering whereas 1% of the General Access WIDA students did so.

## Mathematics

### Data Analysis: ISAT Math

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 24-32, pgs. 36-44

#### Data Considerations:

- After a gap in testing in 2019-20, for the following two years (2020-21 & 2021-22), Idaho used a shortened blueprint for the computer adaptive portion of the test that is approximately half the length of the full blueprint. However, in 2022-23, Idaho used the full (longer) blueprint. We do not know the extent to which lowered scores in 2022-23 are reflective of test fatigue due to the longer test and/or a latent pandemic impact.
- In 2022-23, Idaho moved the high school assessment from 10<sup>th</sup> grade to 11<sup>th</sup> grade; however, students are still allowed to take the assessment in the 10<sup>th</sup> grade and bank their scores for use in 11<sup>th</sup> grade. Thus, students whose 10<sup>th</sup> grade score is Proficient or

**ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT**

Advanced using 11<sup>th</sup> grade criteria can use that score in place of taking the test again during 11<sup>th</sup> grade. It is impossible to know the impact this may have on high school scores going forward since students who choose to use the score they earn during 10<sup>th</sup> grade might have scored higher because of additional schooling and maturation if they had waited until 11<sup>th</sup> grade to take the assessment.

**Data Analysis**

- After a 4 percentage point decrease in combined Proficient and Advanced performance in the All Students All Grades group during the pandemic (44% in 2018-19 down to 40% in 2020-21), the percentage recovered to 43% in 2021-22 and held steady at 42-43% during 2022-23 and 2023-24 (fig. 24).
- Between 2020-21 and 2023-24 Below Basic percentages for the All Students All Grades group increased 3 to 4 percentage points from pre-pandemic levels to 30-32% and appear to be holding steady in a narrow range (fig. 24).
  - The increase might be due to lingering pandemic effects.
- There has been no change in the longstanding trend of math performance decreasing as students progress through the K-12 system (fig. 25).
  - For example, in 2023-24, 50% of 3<sup>rd</sup> graders were Proficient or Advanced and 27% were Below Basic. Only 36% of high school students were Proficient or Advanced and 39% were Below Basic.
- Every grade except high school has lower combined percentages of Proficient and Advanced students in 2023-24 than in 2018-19. The drops are not appreciable, just 1 to 3 percentage points, but they underscore the lack of growth that is occurring throughout the system (fig. 25).
- Percentages of students scoring Below Basic have increased in every grade level post-pandemic and are holding steady (fig. 25).
  - High School: increased 1 percentage point between 2018-19 and 2023-24;
  - 3 percentage points in grade 8;
  - 4 percentage points in grades 3, 4, 5, & 7; and
  - 5 percentage points in grade 6.
- Groups of students moving through the grades show no divergences from trends that have been previously discussed. Namely, as grade level increases overall student performance decreases. No group shows greater pandemic impacts than the others. Please note that these data do not reflect true cohorts of students moving through the grades but instead individual groups by grade level and year (fig. 26)

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- In 2023-24, 4 of 7 race/ethnicity groups had lower combined percentages of Proficient and Advanced students, from 12-23 percentage points, when compared to the All Students group whose combined percentage was 43% (fig. 27).
  - These differences were large and quite stable before the pandemic. Most were exacerbated by the pandemic, and no evidence of gap closure has emerged.
  - In 2023-24, 3 race/ethnicity groups (i.e., Asian, Two or More Races, and White) outperformed the All Students group by 3-20 percentage points in combined percentage of Proficient and Advanced.
- In 2023-24, 6 of 7 student subgroups had lower combined Proficient and Advanced percentages, from 13-31 percentage points, when compared to the All Students group, whose combined percentage was 43% (fig. 28).
  - These differences were large and quite stable before the pandemic. Most were exacerbated by the pandemic, and no evidence of gap closure has emerged.
  - In 2024, 1 student subgroup (i.e., Military Connected Families) outperformed the All Students group in combined Proficient and Advanced by 2 percentage points. Their combined percentage has grown from 41% in 2019 to 45% in 2024.
- There has been no change in cohort trend lines. As has been previously reported, cohort performance begins to diverge from performance expectations after the 4<sup>th</sup> grade and the underperformance increases with each subsequent grade level thereafter (figs. 29-31).
- Of 111,676 students who took the Math portion of the ISAT in 2022-23 and 2023-24, 20% dropped to a lower performance level in 2024 when compared to their 2023 level, 61% remained at the same performance level, and 19% moved to a higher level (fig. 32).
- The movement of students from Below Basic and Basic to higher categories is a positive finding (fig. 32).
  - 24% of students who scored Below Basic in 2022-23 moved to higher performance levels in 2023-24.
  - 25% of students who were Basic in 2022-23 moved to higher performance levels in 2023-24.
  - 24% of students who were Proficient in 2023 moved to Advanced in 2023-24.
- An ongoing challenge for Idaho is students falling into lower performance levels than those they were in the previous year (fig. 32).
  - 26% who were Basic in 2022-23 fell to Below Basic in 2023-24.
  - 31% who were Proficient in 2022-23 dropped to Basic or Below Basic in 2023-24.
  - 27% who were Advanced in 2022-23 dropped to lower performance categories in 2023-24.

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## High School – Graduation and Go On Rates

### Data Analysis: Graduation Rates

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 33-37, pgs. 45-49

#### Data Considerations:

- The cohort graduation rate model is established in federal law and has specific requirements regarding students who are and are not included in a cohort. It is important to note that students who leave their cohort but pursue and receive a grade equivalency diploma (GED) or high school equivalency exam (HSE) are considered dropouts. Thus, a 100% graduation rate is not achievable unless a state fully eliminates this path for high school students.

#### Data Analysis

- 4 year graduation rates are trending upward from their post-pandemic lows. They have increased about 1 percentage point per year for each of the past two years, hitting an all-time high of 82.3% in 2023-24 (fig. 33).
- 5 year graduation rates also appear to be trending upward from post-pandemic lows, although they had not achieved an all-time high by 2022-23 (fig. 33).
- For 2023-24, out of 16 groups of students grouped by race/ethnicity, gender, and other characteristics, 11 groups achieved their highest 4 year graduation rate, 4 groups were roughly equal to their highest, and 1 group was below but near their highest. Comparisons cover the years 2020-21 to 2023-24 (figs. 34 & 35).
  - 12 of the 16 groups exhibited lower 4 year graduation rates when compared to the All Students group. Thus, progress is being made but gaps remain (figs. 34 & 35).
- For 2022-23, out of 16 groups of students grouped by race/ethnicity, gender, and other characteristics, 3 groups exceeded their 2018-19 pre-pandemic level for 5 year graduation rates, 7 groups were equal to or near their pre-pandemic levels, and 6 groups were lower. Comparisons cover the years 2018-19 to 2022-23 (figs. 36 & 37).
  - 12 of the 16 groups exhibited lower 5 year graduation rates when compared to the All Students group, 3 were higher, and 1 was equal to the All Students group. Thus, gaps remain and if they are closing, they are doing so slowly (figs. 36 & 37).
- A standout is American Indians/Alaskan Natives. The group averaged 74% 5 year cohort graduation over the past three years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23). That is an increase of about 4 percentage points above their pre-pandemic level of 70% in 2018-19 (fig. 36).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

**Data Analysis: Go On Rates**

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 38-42, pgs. 51-53

- **Data Considerations:** The current process for gathering data included in the Go On rates necessitates a one-year delay in this metric. As a result, the most recent 1 year Go On rates are for the 2022-23 graduates who pursued postsecondary education in the 2023-24 school year, and the most recent 3 year Go On rates are for 2020-21 graduates who pursued opportunities in 2021-22, 2022-23, or 2023-24.
- There has been a nationwide decrease in the percentage of high school graduates pursuing higher education during and post-pandemic. The decline in Go On rates since 2018 (from 69% to 62%) has been significant.<sup>2</sup>

**1 and 3 Year Go On Rates****Data Analysis**

- After dropping from 50% in 2018-19, the All Students 1 year Go On rate has been stable at 44-46% between 2019-20 and 2022-23 (fig. 38).
- Differences in 1 Year Go On rates persist between 11 subgroups grouped by race/ethnicity and other characteristics and the All Students group (figs. 39 & 40).
  - For the 7 groups that underperformed in 2022-23, the range was 3-24 percentage points less than the All Students group.
  - For the 3 groups that out-performed in 2022-23, the range was 4-20 percentage points greater than the All Students group.
  - One group, White, tied the All Students group at 44%.
- 3 Year Go On rates for the All Students group trended downward from 64% in 2015-16 to a low of 53% in 2019-20. However, 6 of the 11 points of this drop occurred with the 2019-20 graduates who graduated the year of the pandemic. 3 year rates increased by 1 percentage point to 54% in 2020-21, still well below the previous high. 2020-21 was, however, heavily influenced by the pandemic, so more years of data are needed to monitor the persistence of the lower Go-On rates (fig. 38).
- Differences in 3 Year Go On rates persist between 11 subgroups grouped by race/ethnicity and other characteristics and the All Students group (figs. 41 & 42).
  - 10 groups underperformed the All Students group in 2020-21 from 1-30 percentage points.

---

<sup>2</sup> NCES, 2023

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- Only 1 group, Asian, outperformed the All Students group in 2020-21 and did so by 10 percentage points.
  - No group tied the All Students group in 2020-21 but Black students were within 1 percentage point at 53%.
- More years of data (both 1 year and 3 year) are needed to understand post-pandemic Go On rates trends.
- The current Go On Rate data does not allow for a clear understanding of what students are doing after high school, as it is a combination of metrics, and is missing key data.

## Enrollment and Attendance

### Data Analysis: Enrollment

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figure 1, pg. 11

#### Data Analysis

- Idaho total public school enrollment has held relatively steady since 2021-22 (fig. 1).
- Enrollment dropped by 1,647 students to 302,910 in 2020-21 but then increased to 308,325 in 2021-22.
  - Enrollment has remained around 308,000 to 309,000 students during the last three years (2021-22, 2022-23, & 2023-24).

### Data Analysis: Attendance

**Associated Data:** 2023-2024 Student Achievement Report (App. A), Figures 43-51, pgs. 55-63

#### Attendance Definitions

The following definitions for attendance are used in this report, as aligned to the Attendance Works model:

- ✓ Adequate Attendance: 91% to 100% attendance
- ✓ Chronically Absent: 81 to 90% attendance
- ✓ Severely Chronically Absent: 80% or lower attendance

#### Data Considerations

- The definition of “chronically absent” and “severely chronically absent” include absences for any reason, including excused absences (based on district or school policy).

## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## Data Analysis

- In pre-pandemic 2018-19, 87% of Idaho students had adequate attendance. During and after the pandemic, adequate attendance dropped to 82% in 2020-21 and to 75% in 2021-22. The percentage rebounded to 80% in 2022-23 and to 82% in 2023-24 (fig. 43).
- Between 2021-22 and 2023-24, differences in adequate attendance varied across the three grade bands by only 1-2 percentage points, meaning that no grade band can be singled out for having substantially higher or lower adequate attendance. (fig. 44).
  - Severely chronic absenteeism appears to increase as the grade bands go up. For the past two years (2022-23 & 2023-24), grades K-5 have had 2% severely chronically absent, grades 6-8 4%, and grades 9-12 5-6%.
- 15 subgroups of students vary widely in adequate attendance, and most underperform the All Students group. The following comparisons were made in comparison to the All Students group rate of 82% (figs. 45 & 46).
  - Groups with adequate attendance higher than the All Students group: White (84%) and Asian/Pacific Islanders (87%).
  - Groups with the same adequate attendance rate as All Students: Males (82%).
  - Groups with adequate attendance rates slightly lower than All Students: Females (81%), Two or More Races (79%), and Black/African American (79%).
  - Groups with adequate attendance markedly lower than the All Students group that had improved attendance in 2023-24: Homeless (63%), Migrant (75%), English Learners (77%), Students with Disabilities (74%), Economically Disadvantaged (75%), Native Hawaiian (72%), Hispanic/Latin (77%), American Indian (70%).
  - Groups with adequate attendance markedly lower than the All Students group that had the same or lower attendance in 2023-24 than prior years: Foster Care (69%).
- Two groups stand out for increases in adequate attendance between 2021-22 and 2023-24. American Indians/Alaskan Natives and Homeless students started out in 2021-22 at 58% and 52% respectively adequate attendance. By 2023-24, their adequate attendance had steadily risen to 70% for American Indians/Alaskan Natives and 63% for Homeless students (figs. 45 & 46).
- Charter schools, with 2023-24 total enrollments of 24,425 students, had 86% adequate attendance. Only district virtual schools, with a total enrollment of 12,715 students, did better with 94% adequate attendance (fig. 47).
- Charter virtual schools, with 2023-24 total enrollments of 6,234 students, have steadily fallen from 95% adequate attendance in 2018-19 to 68% in 2023-24 (fig. 47).



## ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

- Traditional schools, with 2023-24 total enrollments of 266,050, had 81% adequate attendance (fig. 47).
- Correlation is not causation and the correlation between attendance and student achievement is not large. However, the relationship between attendance and performance is unmistakable (figs. 48-50).
  - At all IRI grade levels (i.e., K-3) IRI composite scores , without exception, always follow an ascending pattern from lowest attendance and lowest mean composite scores to highest attendance and highest mean composite scores (fig. 50).
  - At all grade levels and for both ELA and mathematics, mean scale scores, without exception, always follow an ascending pattern from lowest attendance and lowest mean scale scores to highest attendance and highest mean scale scores (figs. 48 & 49).
- Not only does attendance predict test scores, it also predicts high school graduation. 92% of 2024 graduates had adequate attendance during their 9<sup>th</sup> grade year. Only 71% of non-graduates in this cohort had adequate attendance during their 9<sup>th</sup> grade year (fig. 51).

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## SECTION 5: ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

### Chair

Roger Stewart, Ph.D. Retired Professor, College of Education, Boise State University  
Designated Seat: Student Achievement Assessment and Data

### Ex-Officio Members

Linda Clark, Ed.D. President, Idaho State Board of Education  
Retired Superintendent, West Ada School District #2

Cindy Siddoway, B.A. Member, Idaho State Board of Education  
Owner, Siddoway Sheep Co. and Juniper Mountain Ranch  
Former School Board Trustee, West Jefferson School District #253

Michelle Clement-Taylor, B.A. Interim Chief Operating Officer, Idaho State Department of  
Education

### Designated Members

Sherry Ann Adams, Ph.D. Superintendent, Melba School District #136  
Designated Seat: School District Superintendent

Julian Duffey, M.Ed. Owner, Balance Point, LLC.  
Designated Seat: Special Education

Adam Johnson, Ed.S. Assistant Superintendent and Assessment Director, Blaine County  
School District #61  
Designated Seat: School District Assessment and Accountability

Geoff Penrose, Ed.S. Principal, Sandpoint Middle School, Lake Pend Oreille School  
District #84  
Designated Seat: School Level Administrator

### At-Large Members

Iris Chimburas, B.A. Director of Indian Education, Lapwai School District #341  
Member, Indian Education Committee

Anne Ritter, MS.Ed., J.D. Board Member, Meridian Medical Arts Charter School  
Former School Board Trustee, West Ada School District #2

### Staff

Alison Henken, M.P.P. K-12 Accountability and Projects Program Manager, Idaho Office of  
the State Board of Education

ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT

## REFERENCES

### FOOTNOTE REFERENCES

National Center for Education Statistics, NCES. (2023). "Table 302.10." *Recent high school completers and their enrollment in college, by sex and level of institution: 1960 through 2022*. Retrieved from [https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d21/tables/dt21\\_302.10.asp](https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d21/tables/dt21_302.10.asp).

### RELATED RESOURCES

Allensworth, E.; Gwynne, J.; Moor, P.; de la Torre, M. (2014). *Looking forward to high school and college: Middle grade indicators of readiness in Chicago Public Schools*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago consortium on Chicago school research. Retrieved from <https://consortium.uchicago.edu/sites/default/files/publications/Middlepercent20Gradespercent20Report.pdf>.

Baltimore Education Research Consortium (2011). *Destination graduation: sixth grade early warning indicators for Baltimore city schools their prevalence and impact*. Retrieved from <http://baltimore-berc.org/pdfs/SixthGradeEWIFullReport.pdf>.

Connecticut State Department of Education, *Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement*, January 2018, third edition, page 19.

Ely, T. & Fermanich, M. (2013). *Learning to Count: School Finance Formula Count Methods and Attendance-Related Student Outcomes*. Journal of Education Finance, Vol. 38. No. 4.

Ginsburg, A.; Jordan P.; & Chang, H. (2014). *Absences add up: How school attendance influences student success*. Retrieved from [http://www.attendanceworks.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Absenses-Add-Up\\_090114-1-1.pdf](http://www.attendanceworks.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Absenses-Add-Up_090114-1-1.pdf).

Kuhfeld, M., Soland, J., & Lewis, K. (2022). *Test Score Patterns Across Three Covid-19 impacted School Years*. EdWorkingPaper: 22-521. Annenberg Institute at Brown University. Retrieved from <https://edworkingpapers.com/sites/default/files/ai22-521.pdf>.

Malkus, N. (2024). *Long COVID for Public Schools: Chronic Absenteeism Before and After the Pandemic*. American Enterprise Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.aei.org/research-products/report/long-covid-for-public-schools-chronic-absenteeism-before-and-after-the-pandemic/>.

Rodriguez, S.T. & Burton, E. (2022). *Expanding Data Use to Support More Effective Post-High School Transitions: Measuring Postsecondary Success in Promise Neighborhoods*. Insight Policy Research. U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from [https://promiseneighborhoods.ed.gov/pdf/MeasuringPostsecondarySuccessinPromiseNeighborhoods\\_2022.pdf](https://promiseneighborhoods.ed.gov/pdf/MeasuringPostsecondarySuccessinPromiseNeighborhoods_2022.pdf).

SCHOOL YEAR 2023-24

# Student Achievement Report



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
ASSESSMENT & ACCOUNTABILITY

650 W STATE STREET, 2ND FLOOR  
BOISE, IDAHO 83702  
208 332 6800 OFFICE / 711 TRS  
[WWW.SDE.IDAHO.GOV](http://WWW.SDE.IDAHO.GOV)

CREATED 03/12/2024

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Data Notes .....	8
Redaction .....	8
Level of Precision and Rounding Error .....	8
School Year (SY) Naming Convention.....	9
Sample Size.....	9
2019 Pre-Pandemic Baseline.....	9
Introduction .....	10
Enrollment .....	11
English Language Arts and Literacy.....	12
IRI.....	12
Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) Reporting Framework.....	13
Data Considerations .....	13
Spring IRI Performance by Race-Ethnicity – All Grades.....	16
ISAT English Language Arts and Literacy (ELA).....	20
Data Considerations .....	21
ISAT ELA Performance, All Grades.....	22
ISAT ELA Performance by Grade.....	23
ISAT ELA Performance by Race and Ethnicity.....	25
ISAT ELA Performance by Student Group.....	26
English Language Proficiency Assessment ELPA .....	27
ELPA (General ACCESS for ELLs) Results 2024 .....	29
ELs Attaining English Language Proficiency (EL Reclassification Rate).....	31
ELs Achieving English Language Growth Toward Proficiency .....	32
Alternate ELPA (Alternate ACCESS) Results 2024.....	33
Mathematics .....	34
ISAT Math.....	34
Data Considerations .....	35

ISAT Math Performance, All Grades ..... 36

ISAT Math Performance by Grade..... 37

ISAT Math Performance by Race-Ethnicity..... 39

ISAT Math Performance by Student Group..... 40

Longitudinal Review of ISAT Math Performance..... 41

ISAT Math Longitudinal Analysis – Two Cohorts Compared to Proficiency Cut Score..... 42

ISAT Math – How Much Did Idaho Students Move Across Proficiency Levels? ..... 44

High School Graduation Rates and Go-on Rates ..... 45

Graduation Rate ..... 45

    Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Classes of 2017–2024 ..... 45

    Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Class of 2024 ..... 46

    Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Class of 2023 ..... 48

Go On Rates..... 50

    1-Year Go-On Rate ..... 51

    3-Year Go-On Rates ..... 52

Attendance and Chronic Absenteeism ..... 54

    Attendance per Proportion-Attendance Category..... 55

    ISAT Median Scale Scores by Attendance Proportion Category ..... 60

    9<sup>th</sup>-Grade Attendance Predicts 4-year Graduation Status ..... 63

National Assessment of Educational Progress..... 64

# TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Idaho Public School Fall Enrollment ..... 11

Figure 2: Fall and Spring IRI Performance..... 14

Figure 3: IRI Performance by Grade by Year..... 15

Figure 4: Spring IRI Performance by Race and Ethnicity: 2023 and 2024 ..... 16

Figure 5: Spring IRI Performance by Student Group 2023 & 2024 ..... 17

Figure 6: Spring IRI Performance by Gender: 2023 & 2024..... 18

Figure 7: Spring IRI Grade level Groups\* ..... 18

Figure 8: IRI Correlation to ISAT Claim 1 Reading Scores ..... 19

Figure 9: ISAT ELA Performance All Grades, School Years 2015-2024\* ..... 22

Figure 10: ISAT ELA Performance by Grade SYs 2019, 2022\*, 2023, and 2024\* ..... 23

Figure 11: ISAT ELA Performance for 2019 and 2021-2024 by Graduating Class..... 24

Figure 12: ISAT ELA Performance by Race and Ethnicity in 2019, 2023, and 2024\* ..... 25

Figure 13: ISAT ELA Performance by Student Groups in 2019, 2023, and 2024\* ..... 26

Figure 14: Top 10 Languages Spoken by English Learners – 2024..... 28

Figure 15: Percentage of First Year Identified English Learners (L1) by Grade Level ..... 29

Figure 16: Count of First Year Identified English Learners (L1) by Grade Level ..... 29

Figure 17: General ACCESS Performance Levels..... 30

Figure 18: English Learner Performance Level by Grade Band..... 30

Figure 19: Percentage of English Learners Existing the English Language Program by Attaining English Language Proficiency..... 31

Figure 20: Percentage of English Learners Achieving English Language Proficiency by Grade .... 31

Figure 21: English Learners Achieving English Language Growth Toward English Proficiency Rate ..... 32

Figure 22: Percentage of English Learners Achieving Growth Toward English Language Proficiency by Grade ..... 32

Figure 23: WIDA Alternate ACCESS Performance Levels 2024 ..... 33

Figure 24: ISAT Math Performance, All Grades, School Years 2015-2024\* ..... 36

Figure 25: ISAT Math Performance by Grade School Years 2019, 2022\*, 2023, and 2024\* ..... 37

Figure 26: ISAT Math Performance for 2019 and 2021-2024 by Graduating Class..... 38

Figure 27: ISAT Math Performance by Race-Ethnicity School Years 2019, 2023\*, and 2024\* .... 39

Figure 28: ISAT Math Performance by Student Group, School Years 2019, 2023\*, and 2024\*... 40

Figure 29: ISAT Math Longitudinal Analysis – 2024 Grade 7 & 8 Cohorts..... 42

Figure 30: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 7 Cohort ..... 43

Figure 31: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 11 Cohort ..... 43

Figure 32: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 7 Cohort ..... 44

Figure 33: 4-year and 5-year Graduation Rates per Cohort ..... 45

Figure 34: 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity ..... 46

Figure 35: 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Student Group..... 47

Figure 36: 5-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity ..... 48

Figure 37: 5-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Student Group..... 49

Figure 38: 1 Year and 3 Year Go On Rates, All Students..... 51

Figure 39: 1-Year Go-On Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Graduation Cohort..... 51

Figure 40: 1-Year Go-On Rates by Student Groups ..... 52

Figure 41: 3-Year Go-On Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Graduation Cohort..... 52

Figure 42: 3-Year Go-On Rates by Student Groups and Class Cohort ..... 53

Figure 43: Student Attendance by Year..... 55

Figure 44: Student Attendance by Grade Band ..... 56

Figure 45: Student Attendance by Student Groups..... 57

Figure 46: Student Attendance by Race-Ethnicity ..... 58

Figure 47: Student Attendance by School Type..... 59

Figure 48: 2023 and 2024 ISAT ELA Mean Scale Scores by Grade and Attendance ..... 60

Figure 49: 2023 and 2024 ISAT Math Mean Scale Scores by Grade and Attendance ..... 61

Figure 50: 2023 and 2024 IRI Mean Scores by Grade and Attendance ..... 62

Figure 51: 4-year Graduation Status of 2024 Cohort by their 9th-Grade Attendance..... 63

Figure 52: NAEP Grade 4 Reading Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013  
..... 65



Figure 53: NAEP Grade 8 Reading Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013  
..... 65

Figure 54: NAEP Grade 4 Math Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013 . 66

Figure 55: NAEP Grade 8 Math Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013 . 66

# Table of Tables

Table 1: Cohort Test Grades and Years for 2023-2024 Analysis..... 41

## DATA NOTES

The data presentations in this report conform to the rules and standard practices adopted by the Idaho Department of Education (the Department) to protect potentially personally identifiable information (PII), and to guard against overinterpretation of small differences.

### Redaction

In compliance with Idaho law, we redact data to protect personal identity. This means that we do not report data in any cells of fewer than 5 students or where the difference between the total of one or more cells of categorical data is fewer than 5 of the total student population. In addition, Data Management Council (DMC) Policies and Procedures call for at least two cells to be redacted in most cases where any total is available, to prevent any cell required for redaction from being derived. Under DMC policy, additional cells may be required to be redacted until the total of the exempt and therefore redacted aggregate data in a line or column equals 5 or more. Zero is considered a number.

The Department uses two levels of redaction communication to protect privacy: (1) reporting no data at all or (2) by “blurring” the actual data, which provides some numeric information, without exposing underlying private data. Specifically, cells that meet the standard fewer-than-five redaction rule are reported using the “NSIZE” notation. Cells that meet the n size requirement but cannot be disclosed because of their relationship to another cell that is redacted, are blurred with the use of “>” or “<” notations. Please be aware that the blurred results are always true (e.g. a cell listed with < 25% will have a real value of under 25%), but do not include an indication of how much above or below the listed value the actual percentage falls.

### Level of Precision and Rounding Error

In this report, most composites, rates, percentages, and averages are calculated to 10 places beyond the decimal. For reporting, they are rounded to full numbers, with no places beyond the decimal. The resulting level of precision better matches the level of accuracy of the underlying data and helps avoid the overinterpretation of small, inconsequential differences that likely result from the types of random error that affect all data. Slight, apparent differences from 100% of up to one percentage point in the sum of rates per category (usually a stacked bar) result from rounding errors and not real discrepancies.

### School Year (SY) Naming Convention

By convention, school years (SYs) are labeled according to the calendar year of the spring semester. For example, the 2023-24 school year is labeled 2024. In this report, when a school year is identified with one date, for example, 2024 refers to the school year starting in the previous calendar year's fall (i.e., 2023) and ending in named school year's spring (i.e., 2024)

### Sample Size

Throughout this report, the sample size or student count is expressed within parenthesis with or without a notation of "n=".

### 2019 Pre-Pandemic Baseline

This report includes results from the 2018-19 school year as the pre-pandemic baseline as applicable. Idaho continues to make a recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic, and it is important to keep track of the effect and progress. Because of COVID-19, many programs, including statewide assessments, ceased in Spring 2020. For this reason, results from the school year 2020 may not be available.

## INTRODUCTION

The Assessment and Accountability Department, on behalf of the Idaho Department of Education, presents Idaho's 2023-24 annual Student Achievement Report. The information presented is a compilation of the results of the summative assessments for all students, unless otherwise noted. The data presented may not match reports published to fulfill accountability requirements.<sup>1</sup> Student demographic designations represent information that districts and charters provided through the Idaho System for Educational Excellence (ISEE).

The observations provided represent the reflections, understanding, and experience of the Assessment and Accountability staff, as well as reflections from other department staff.

Questions about the data or observations can be directed to the Assessment and Accountability Department.

---


<sup>1</sup> Inclusion and weighting rules vary depending on the accountability metric and requirement.

## ENROLLMENT

This report reviews the achievements of the 308,718 students in Idaho’s public schools in 2023-24. These official numbers come from the Spring Enrollment Count, which includes all students in grades kindergarten through 12 enrolled on the first Friday of May. The districts and charter schools statewide report enrollment via ISEE to the Idaho Statewide Longitudinal Data System (SLDS). The count does not show whether a student is enrolled on a half-time or full-time basis. The enrollment count for the following entities are not part of the report card: (1) Juvenile Detention Centers; (2) Idaho Digital Learning Academy (IDLA); and (3) Schools governed by: (a) Idaho Department of Correction; (b) Idaho Department of Juvenile Corrections; (c) Idaho Educational Services for the Deaf and Blind; (d) Tribal organizations; (e) Special purpose schools, as accredited; and (f) Summer schools/programs.

As seen in Figure 1, enrollment in elementary schools (Kindergarten through 5<sup>th</sup> grade) and middle schools (grades 6 – 8) have experience little change since the 2021-2022 year, though high school (grades 9 – 12) is slightly trending upwards.

**Figure 1: Idaho Public School Fall Enrollment**



## ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AND LITERACY

This section reviews Idaho students' performance on English language arts and literacy assessments including the Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) for students in kindergarten through grade 3; the Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT/IDAA) for students in grades 3-8 and 10; and the English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) for students learning English in kindergarten through grade 12.

### IRI

School year 2018-19 was the first year of the statewide implementation of the new IRI. Legacy IRI scores could not be compared directly with scores from the new IRI, for two reasons. First, the legacy IRI testing procedure was a one-on-one assessment between the proctor and student. Second, it was approximately 2-4 minutes long and it measured one aspect of literacy – oral reading fluency.

The new Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) is a computer-adaptive screening assessment administered on a tablet or computer. It consists of **multiple short tests designed to measure foundational literacy skills, including:**

- Listening Comprehension
- Letter Knowledge
- Phonemic Awareness
- Vocabulary
- Spelling
- Alphabetic Decoding
- Reading Comprehension
- Text Fluency

Each grade level completes a specific set of subtests tailored to their **developmental stage. For instance:**

- Kindergarteners are not assessed in text fluency.
- Third graders are generally not assessed in phonemic awareness.

However, because the assessment is computer-adaptive, students can "gate" up or down into subtests that may not be **normed for their grade level based on their performance.**

The IRI provides detailed reports for each subtest as well as an overall literacy ability score, giving educators a comprehensive snapshot of a student's foundational reading skills.

#### **Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) Reporting Framework**

The Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) reports student performance using a three-tiered system to classify proficiency levels based on percentile ranges:

- **Tier 1: On Grade Level**
  - Students scoring at or above the 40th percentile demonstrate proficiency and are considered on grade level.
- **Tier 2: Near Grade Level**
  - Students scoring between the 21st and 40th percentile are approaching grade-level proficiency and may require additional support or interventions to reach on grade level.
- **Tier 3: Below Grade Level**
  - Students scoring at or below the 20th percentile are significantly below grade level and require intensive interventions to support literacy development.

#### **Data Considerations**

IRI scores were put on a vertical scale and were subsequently renormed in 2022 using data from the 2018-19 school year. This was to align the IRI vendor's PreK-grade 3 early-reading assessment and Grades 4-5 advanced-reading assessment and make the scores continuous and comparable. For any norm-referenced assessments, the norm needs to be updated every four to five years to represent the performance of the current population. The change in norms affected the proficiency-level (Tier) assignment. To see scores in old norms, see Appendix.



Figure 2: Fall and Spring IRI Performance






Figure 3: IRI Performance by Grade by Year



**Spring IRI Performance by Race-Ethnicity – All Grades**

Figure 4 shows IRI performance by race-ethnicity groups.

**Figure 4: Spring IRI Performance by Race and Ethnicity: 2023 and 2024**



**Spring IRI Performance by Student Group – All Grades**

Figure 5 and Figure 6 show statewide IRI performance of all grades by student groups.

**Figure 5: Spring IRI Performance by Student Group 2023 & 2024**




Figure 6: Spring IRI Performance by Gender: 2023 & 2024





Figure 7 shows IRI performance by graduating classes.


Figure 7: Spring IRI Grade level Groups\*



*\*groups of students- not true cohort*

Figure 8: IRI Correlation to ISAT Claim 1 Reading Scores

- There is a clear trend that higher scores in one test can coincide with higher scores in another, but there are too many exceptions to assume a student will do well in one test due to a high score in the other
- This is further explained with noting that 12.2% of students scored in the lowest level/tier of one test but the highest of the other



## ISAT English Language Arts and Literacy (ELA)

The Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) comprises key elements of Idaho's school accountability system. Students in grades 3-8 and 11 take the ISAT to determine whether they have met the standards for their grade level in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA), Science, and Mathematics (Math).<sup>2</sup> These tests are administered from March to May of each year to provide annual monitoring of individual, school, district, and state progress.

The ISAT is a criterion-referenced assessment designed to measure students' proficiency in the Idaho Content Standards. In Math and ELA, student performance is reported across multiple levels—individual, class, school, district, and state—and covers various categories, including reading, writing, computational thinking, and mathematical concepts and procedures.

The ISAT in Math and ELA comprises two components: a computer-adaptive test and performance tasks. The primary objectives of the assessment are:

1. **Evaluation and Accountability:** To measure student achievement and learning growth as part of program evaluation and accountability for schools, districts, and the state.
2. **College and Career Readiness:** To provide valid, reliable, and fair assessments of students' progress toward mastering the knowledge and skills necessary for college and career readiness.
3. **In-Depth Demonstration of Skills:** To maximize students' ability to showcase their full knowledge and skills by utilizing the advantages of computer-adaptive testing.

These summative assessments are a critical part of the statewide comprehensive assessment system, as outlined in IDAPA 08.02.03.111.06.

Students with disabilities can participate in the statewide comprehensive ISAT assessment system in one of three ways as determined by their Individualized Education Program (IEP) team. They can take the:

- general assessment without accommodations,
- general assessment with accommodations, or
- Idaho Alternate Assessment or IDAA for students who qualify.

---

<sup>2</sup> School Year 2021-22 is the last year in which students will take their Summative ELA and Math ISAT assessment in 10th grade. Starting in School Year 2022-23, high school students will instead take only the 11th-grade ELA, Math, and Science ISAT assessments, but they may take the Math or ELA assessments in 10th grade, or rarely 9th grade, after completing instruction on all high school standards.

The Idaho Alternate Assessment (IDAA) is the alternate assessment option under the ISAT assessment system. It is intended for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who meet specific participation criteria. They represent about 1% of the total student population, and their Individual-Education-Program (IEP) team determines if they qualify for the IDAA based on the participation criteria.

This document adopts the shorthand of referring to findings from the Idaho Standards Achievement Test as ISAT findings, even though they are formally ISAT/IDAA findings, because they include IDAA test results, unless otherwise indicated.

### **Data Considerations**

ISAT results are reported as scale scores, which are divided into four achievement levels: Level 1 (Below Basic), Level 2 (Basic), Level 3 (Proficient), and Level 4 (Advanced). Scores in the Proficient (Level 3) and Advanced (Level 4) categories indicate that a student is meeting grade-level expectations for proficiency\*. The graphs below illustrate the performance of students in grades 3–8 and high school (grade 10 through 2022, and grades 10 and 11 starting in 2023) across the four achievement levels.

The Idaho State Board of Education developed adjusted (shortened) blueprints in 2020. The shortened blueprint has 50% fewer computer adaptive items in each claim area compared to the original full (long) blueprint. The shortened blueprint still covers all content standards, and results are comparable. Although combined claim scores were in development, the shortened blueprint did not offer claim-level scores in 2020-21 or 2021-22. Idaho used the shortened blueprint in 2020-21 and 2021-22 school years. Idaho returned to full-length blueprint in the 2022-23 school year.

Based on input from LEAs and the Idaho State Board of Education, the Department decided to return to the shortened form of the ISAT blueprint for school year 2023-24 and beyond. Combined claim level scores became available for the shortened blueprint in 2023-24.

As of 2023, the high school ISAT was taken in Grade 11 and evaluated against all high school standards. Two other features were added: (1) students could use a “banked” ISAT score from a prior high school year’s test, usually a Grade-10 test, rather than re-take the test in Grade 11; and (2) Grade 9 or 10 students could take the Grade-11 ISAT for banking, if they had received instruction on all relevant Idaho Content Standards in that subject. Please see [Accountability Business Rules](#) for details.

**The graphs below display student data from before and after 2020, highlighting the impact of COVID-19, which disrupted instruction and halted assessments. Some graphs span multiple**




years, while others, due to space constraints, focus on recent years but include the 2018-2019 school year for a pre- and post-pandemic comparison.

\*Standard setting, which determined cut scores and proficiency levels, for the Smarter Balanced mathematics and English/Language Arts assessments occurred in October, 2018. These determinations were based on field test data and the methodologies were approved by the consortium’s Technical Advisory Committee before they were finalized.

**ISAT ELA Performance, All Grades**

**Figure 9: ISAT ELA Performance All Grades, School Years 2015-2024\***




**Note-** Data are not available in 2020 because of COVID-related lapses in test-taking.

\* The 2021, 2022, and 2024 ISAT were on the shortened blueprint.


ISAT ELA Performance by Grade

Figure 10: ISAT ELA Performance by Grade SYs 2019, 2022\*, 2023, and 2024\*



\*Both 2022 and 2024 were on the shortened blueprint.

Figure 11: ISAT ELA Performance for 2019 and 2021-2024 by Graduating Class




**Note-** Data are not available in 2020 because of COVID-related lapses in test-taking.

\* The 2022 and 2024 ISAT were on the shortened blueprint.

\* Students test in grades 3-8 and high school. Starting in Spring 2023, the tested high school grade shifted from 10<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade.

ISAT ELA Performance by Race and Ethnicity


Figure 12: ISAT ELA Performance by Race and Ethnicity in 2019, 2023, and 2024\*



\* The 2024 ISAT was on the shortened blueprint.

ISAT ELA Performance by Student Group

Figure 13: ISAT ELA Performance by Student Groups in 2019, 2023, and 2024\*



\* The 2024 ISAT was on the shortened blueprint.

## English Language Proficiency Assessment ELPA

The English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA), which includes both screener and summative test types, measures English language proficiency in listening, speaking, reading, and writing as defined by Idaho's English Language Development Standards<sup>3</sup>. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by Section 3113<sup>4</sup> of the *Every Student Succeeds Act* (2015), requires state educational agencies to establish and implement standardized, statewide entrance and exit procedures for students identified as English learners (ELs). Idaho Code § 33-1617<sup>5</sup> and § 33-1624<sup>6</sup> support these federal mandates by outlining the legislative intent to develop statewide, research-based English language proficiency goals and by providing a standardized mechanism for evaluating annual English language proficiency growth. The Idaho Administrative Code<sup>78</sup> requires that provisional ELs be screened to determine program eligibility and that identified ELs complete the annual summative assessment. ELPA student performance results are used to monitor individual English language proficiency growth, English language proficiency, and to evaluate district program efficacy.

Idaho joined the WIDA Consortium in 2015 and began administering ACCESS for ELLs—WIDA's summative English language proficiency (ELP) assessment—in 2016. In addition to the summative ELPA, Idaho administers both the WIDA Screener and the WIDA Screener for Kindergarten as the state's ELP screeners to determine a student's EL program eligibility. The EL identification process is completed within the first 30 days of enrollment in an Idaho public school, and the results of the WIDA Screener establish a student's initial English language proficiency level, informing district programmatic and instructional decisions.

ACCESS for ELLs performance results are reported as both scale scores and proficiency levels (PLs). A scale score and proficiency level are produced for each language domain assessed (reading, writing, listening, and speaking). Composite scores are also generated (overall, literacy, oral, and comprehension). Proficiency levels are interpretive scores that describe what a student knows and can do in English. The six proficiency levels are:

- Proficiency Level 1: Entering
- Proficiency Level 2: Emerging
- Proficiency Level 3: Developing
- Proficiency Level 4: Expanding

---

<sup>3</sup> IDAPA Rule: 08.02.03.004.02

<sup>4</sup> Every Student Succeeds Act, 20 U.S.C. § 3113(b)(2). <https://www.congress.gov/114/plaws/publ95/PLAW-114publ95.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> Idaho Code § 33-1617: <https://legislature.idaho.gov/statutesrules/idstat/Title33/T33CH16/SECT33-1617/>

<sup>6</sup> Idaho Code § 33-1624: <https://legislature.idaho.gov/statutesrules/idstat/title33/t33ch16/sect33-1624/>

<sup>7</sup> IDAPA Rule: 08.02.03.111.03

<sup>8</sup> IDAPA Rule: 08.02.03.111.06.(a)-(m)


- Proficiency Level 5: Bridging
- Proficiency Level 6: Reaching

In 2017, the Department slightly modified the individual language domain (Reading, Writing, Listening, and Speaking) proficiency level targets for reclassification from an LEA’s Language Instruction Educational Program from 5.0 on each of the four domains to 4.0, leaving overall composite cut-off unchanged. Three years later, based on its statewide analyses comparing ACCESS performance levels and ISAT ELA performance, the Department implemented another exit criterion update in 2019-20. These modifications modified the overall composite proficiency level reclassification cut score from 5.0 to 4.2; the Reading, Writing, and Listening domain cut scores from 4.0 to 3.5; and the Speaking cut from 5.0 to 1.0<sup>9</sup>.

ELs with the most significant cognitive impairments, who meet the Idaho Alternate Assessment participation criteria, complete WIDA Alternate ACCESS. The proficiency levels for WIDA Alternate ACCESS are reported under the five proficiency levels outlined below:

- Proficiency Level 1: Entering
- Proficiency Level 2: Emerging
- Proficiency Level 3: Developing
- Proficiency Level 4: Expanding
- Proficiency Level 5: Bridging

**Figure 14: Top 10 Languages Spoken by English Learners – 2024**



<sup>9</sup> This low score of 1.0 took into account that the Speaking measure relied on a recording technology that artificially reduced the Speaking score to 1.0 if a student stopped and re-started the recorder.

Figure 15: Percentage of First Year Identified English Learners (L1) by Grade Level





Figure 16: Count of First Year Identified English Learners (L1) by Grade Level



**ELPA (General ACCESS for ELLs) Results 2024**

The 2023-2024 summative ELPA window opened on January 29, 2024, and closed on March 8, 2024. During the test window, 19,406 students completed all sections (reading, writing, listening, and speaking) of ACCESS for ELLs. Among the ELs assessed, 3,414 were in their first year of EL identification (L1), and 47% of L1 students were identified for EL services in



kindergarten. The distribution graphs below illustrate the percentage of students scoring at each proficiency level on ACCESS for ELLs and Alternate ACCESS for ELLs from 2019 to 2024.

Figure 17: General ACCESS Performance Levels






Figure 18: English Learner Performance Level by Grade Band




**ELs Attaining English Language Proficiency (EL Reclassification Rate)**

ELs reclassify (exit) from a district’s language instruction educational program when an overall composite PL score of  $\geq 4.2$ , domain scores of  $\geq 3.5$  in reading, writing, and listening, and a domain score of  $\geq 1.0$  in speaking, are attained. 9% of participants who completed ACCESS for ELLs in 2024 attained English proficiency and exited their district’s language instruction educational program. The majority of Idaho’s EL population reaches English proficiency in grades 3, 4, or 5.

**Figure 19: Percentage of English Learners Existing the English Language Program by Attaining English Language Proficiency**




**Figure 20: Percentage of English Learners Achieving English Language Proficiency by Grade**




**ELs Achieving English Language Growth Toward Proficiency**

In 2024, 49% of ELs achieved English language growth toward proficiency. This was a 10.1% decrease from the 2022-2023 test administration. The growth toward English proficiency indicator is used to determine whether a student is on-track to exit EL support services within 2-5 years. The number of years allocated to meet proficiency is determined by an EL’s initial ACCESS for ELLs overall composite PL score.

**Figure 21: English Learners Achieving English Language Growth Toward English Proficiency Rate**



**Figure 22: Percentage of English Learners Achieving Growth Toward English Language Proficiency by Grade**




As students transition from primary to secondary education, they face an increased cognitive load due to the shift from a single-teacher classroom to multiple subject-specific classes. This transition requires students to navigate varying instructional styles, academic expectations, and an expanded volume of content across disciplines. The increased linguistic and cognitive demands present in secondary education may explain the decreased rate of ELs attaining growth toward English language proficiency after grade 5.

**Alternate ELPA (Alternate ACCESS) Results 2024**

The 2023-2024 summative ELPA window opened on January 29, 2024, and closed on March 8, 2024. During the test window, 157 students completed all sections (reading, writing, listening, and speaking) of ACCESS for ELLs. Among the ELs assessed, 13 were in their first year of EL identification (L1), and 46% of L1 students were identified for EL services in kindergarten. The distributions below illustrate the percentage of students scoring at each proficiency level on WIDA Alternate ACCESS in 2024.

**Figure 23: WIDA Alternate ACCESS Performance Levels 2024**



The main goal of identifying ELs and monitoring the progress toward English language proficiency is executed to ensure that every Idaho public school is providing a quality education to *all* enrolled students, regardless of a child’s first language. Though member states of the WIDA Consortium are provided with some generic professional learning opportunities, the complex nature of the ELP assessments and Idaho laws require continued thoughtful and proactive training on a regular cycle that addresses the spectrum of screening/identification requirements, interpretation requirement of WIDA Screener and ELPA student performance scores, as well as intensive assessment operational and technical assistance. An extensive overview of the English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) is outlined on the Department’s ELPA webpage: <https://www.sde.idaho.gov/assessment/elpa/>.

## MATHEMATICS

Idaho students are tested in mathematics using the Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT).

### ISAT Math

The Idaho Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) comprises key elements of Idaho's school accountability system. Students in grades 3-8 and 11 take the ISAT to determine whether they have met the standards for their grade level in Mathematics (Math).<sup>10</sup> These tests are administered from March to May of each year to provide annual monitoring of individual, school, district, and state progress.

The ISAT is a criterion-referenced assessment designed to measure students' proficiency in the Idaho Content Standards. In Math, student performance is reported across multiple levels—individual, class, school, district, and state—and covers various categories, including mathematical concepts and procedures, problem solving and data analysis, and communicating mathematically.

The ISAT in Math comprises two components: a computer-adaptive test and a performance task. The primary objectives of the assessment are:

1. **Evaluation and Accountability:** To measure student achievement and learning growth as part of program evaluation and accountability for schools, districts, and the state.
2. **College and Career Readiness:** To provide valid, reliable, and fair assessments of students' progress toward mastering the knowledge and skills necessary for college and career readiness.
3. **In-Depth Demonstration of Skills:** To maximize students' ability to showcase their full knowledge and skills by utilizing the advantages of computer-adaptive testing.

These summative assessments are a critical part of the statewide comprehensive assessment system, as outlined in IDAPA 08.02.03.111.06.

Students with disabilities can participate in the statewide comprehensive ISAT assessment system in one of three ways. They can take the:

---

<sup>10</sup> School Year 2021-22 is the last year in which students took the Summative ELA and Math ISAT assessment in 10<sup>th</sup> grade. Starting in School Year 2022-23, high school students took the ELA, Math, and Science ISAT assessments in 11<sup>th</sup> grade. However, students may take the Math or ELA assessments in 10<sup>th</sup> grade, or rarely 9<sup>th</sup> grade, after completing instruction on all high school standards.

- general assessment without accommodations,
- general assessment with accommodations, or
- Idaho Alternate Assessment (IDAA) for students who qualify.

The Idaho Alternate Assessment (IDAA) is the alternate assessment option under the ISAT assessment system. It is intended for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who meet specific participation criteria. They represent about 1% of the total student population, and their Individual-Education-Program (IEP) team determines if they qualify for the IDAA based on the participation criteria.

This document adopts the shorthand of referring to findings from the Idaho Standards Achievement Test as ISAT findings, even though they are formally ISAT/IDAA findings, because they include IDAA test results, unless otherwise indicated.

#### **Data Considerations**

The Idaho State Board of Education developed adjusted (shortened) blueprints in 2020. The shortened blueprint has 50% fewer computer adaptive items in each claim area compared to the original full (long) blueprint. The shortened blueprint still covers all content standards, and results are comparable. Although combined claim scores were in development, the shortened blueprint did not offer claim-level scores in 2020-21 or 2021-22. Idaho used the shortened blueprint in the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school years. Idaho returned to the full-length blueprint in the 2022-23 school year.

For school year 2023-24 and beyond, the Department decided to return to the shortened form of the ISAT blueprint based on input from LEAs and the Idaho State Board of Education. Combined claim level scores became available for the shortened blueprint in 2023-24.

ISAT results are reported as scale scores, which are divided into four achievement levels: Level 1 (Below Basic), Level 2 (Basic), Level 3 (Proficient), and Level 4 (Advanced). Scores in the Proficient (Level 3) and Advanced (Level 4) categories indicate that a student is meeting grade-level expectations for proficiency. The graphs below illustrate the performance of students in grades 3–8 and high school (grade 10 through 2022, and grades 10 and 11 starting in 2023) across the four achievement levels.


As of 2023, the high school ISAT was taken in Grade 11 and evaluated against all high school standards. Two other features were added: (1) students could use a “banked” ISAT score from a prior high school year’s test, usually a Grade-10 test, rather than re-take the test in Grade 11; and (2) Grade 9 or 10 students could take the Grade-11 ISAT for banking, if they had received

instruction on all relevant Idaho Content Standards in that subject. Please see [Accountability Business Rules](#) for details.

The graphs below display student data from before and after 2020, highlighting the impact of COVID-19, which disrupted instruction and halted assessments. Some graphs span multiple years, while others, due to space constraints, focus on recent years but include the 2018-2019 school year for a pre- and post-pandemic comparison.

**ISAT Math Performance, All Grades**


**Figure 24: ISAT Math Performance, All Grades, School Years 2015-2024\***



*Note. Data are not available in 2019-20 because of COVID-related lapses in test-taking.*  
 \* The 2021, 2022, and 2024 ISAT used the shortened blueprint.

ISAT Math Performance by Grade


Figure 25: ISAT Math Performance by Grade School Years 2019, 2022\*, 2023, and 2024\*



\*The 2022 and 2024 ISATs used the shortened blueprint



Figure 26: ISAT Math Performance for 2019 and 2021-2024 by Graduating Class




**Note-** Data are not available in 2020 because of COVID-related lapses in test-taking.

\* The 2022 and 2024 ISAT were on the shortened blueprint.

\* Students test in grades 3-8 and high school. Starting in Spring 2023, the tested high school grade shifted from 10<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade.

ISAT Math Performance by Race-Ethnicity


Figure 27: ISAT Math Performance by Race-Ethnicity School Years 2019, 2023\*, and 2024\*



\*The 2023 and 2024 ISAT used the shortened blueprint.

ISAT Math Performance by Student Group

Figure 28: ISAT Math Performance by Student Group, School Years 2019, 2023\*, and 2024\*



\*The 2023 and 2024 ISAT used the shortened blueprint.

**Longitudinal Review of ISAT Math Performance**

The cohort analyses and graphs for 2023-24 were generated following the same process as the cohort analysis in 2022-23. Data were taken from all attempted summative tests with claim scores in Idaho going back to the first year of Smarter Balanced summative testing, 2014-2015. Any students who took two mathematics summative tests in one school year or who repeated a grade across school years were then removed from the dataset. Test scores for individual students were linked across years using the student RTS key variable.

The different cohorts for Math were then formed separately by selecting all students who had summative tests for the corresponding grade in each year according to the cohort plan in Table 1.

**Table 1: Cohort Test Grades and Years for 2023-2024 Analysis**

Grade 11 Cohort		Grade 7 Cohort	
Test Grade	Year	Test Grade	Year
11	2024	7	2024
10*	2023*	6	2023
9**	2022**	5	2022
8	2021	4	2021
7+	2020+	3+	2020+
6	2019		
5	2018		
4	2017		
3	2016		

*Notes:*

\* Grade 10 results for 2023 are unavailable because the grade 10 tests were not administered due to the change in the accountability grade in high school.

\*\* Grade 9 results from 2022 were excluded because testing was optional, and not all grade 9 students participated.

+ There was no testing in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For each of the two cohorts, the same analysis was performed:

1. Calculate the average overall and average claim scores for each year.
2. Calculate the merge rate for each cohort for each year as the number of students in the cohort divided by that year’s total sample size for the grade.

The plot formatting remained consistent with the previous year, following the instructions provided by SDE. A total of three plots were generated:

1. Math: The graph with overall scale score, claim scores, and proficient cut for Grade 7 cohort.
2. Math: The graph with overall scale score, claim scores, and proficient cut for Grade 11 cohort.
3. Math: The graph with overall scale score and proficient cut for Grade 7 and 11 cohorts (no claim scores). This graph includes three lines: two overall score lines for the two cohorts and one proficient cut line.

**ISAT Math Longitudinal Analysis – Two Cohorts Compared to Proficiency Cut Score**

**Figure 29: ISAT Math Longitudinal Analysis – 2024 Grade 7 & 8 Cohorts**




Figure 30: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 7 Cohort

**Note:** ISAT Claims in math are categorized as:

Claim 1: Concepts and Procedures

Claim 2 and 4: Problem Solving and Modeling and Data Analysis

Claim 3: Communicating Reasoning






Figure 31: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 11 Cohort



**ISAT Math – How Much Did Idaho Students Move Across Proficiency Levels?**

Of the 111,676 students that took the Math portion of the ISAT in 2023 and 2024, 19.7% had a worse proficiency level in 2024. 60.9% remained at the same level, with 19.4% improving.

**Figure 32: ISAT Math Mean Scale Score by Claim, Grade 7 Cohort**



## HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATES AND GO-ON RATES

Idaho students’ rates of graduation and going on to post-secondary education appear in this section.


### Graduation Rate

We report graduation rate in two measures: the proportion graduating within four years of entering 9<sup>th</sup> grade (4-year Graduation Rate) and the proportion graduating within five years of entering 9<sup>th</sup> grade (5-year Graduation Rate). The 5-year rate is typically higher, because it includes all students who graduated in four years, plus those requiring an additional year to complete their high school requirements. Both include students who were enrolled in an Idaho school from their 9<sup>th</sup> grade onward, and any who moved into Idaho at some time during their high school years. The two counts appearing below each point on the graph are the 4- and 5-year cohort counts. The two may differ if students moved into or out of Idaho’s public-school system in their fifth year.

#### Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Classes of 2017–2024

In 2024, 82.3% of Idaho’s high school students graduated in four years. As seen in Figure 33: 4-year and 5-year Graduation Rates per Cohort

**Figure 33: 4-year and 5-year Graduation Rates per Cohort**



*Note: The Cohort Class of 2020 has been excluded, as graduation requirements were modified due to pandemic closures.*



Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Class of 2024

Figure 34: 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity






Figure 35: 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Student Group



Note: The criteria for identifying English Learners changed in 2020, making prior years' rates incomparable to those following the change.


Cohort Graduation Rate: Cohort Class of 2023

Figure 36: 5-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Note: The Cohort Class of 2020 has been excluded, as graduation requirements were modified due to pandemic closures.

Figure 37: 5-Year Cohort Graduation Rate by Student Group



Note: The criteria for identifying English Learners changed in 2020, making prior years' rates incomparable to those following the change.

Note: The Cohort Class of 2020 has been excluded, as graduation requirements were modified due to pandemic closures.

## Go On Rates

Idaho's Go On rates provided in this section reflect the percentage of high school graduates who pursue post-secondary education within one or three years of graduation. Go on status is counted based on the student's graduation year (not on the graduation cohort, which drives the calculation of graduation rates). The denominators used to calculate go on rates include all students who graduated in the spring or summer of the academic year. For instance, the "2022-23 grads" cohort includes all students who graduated in the spring or summer of that academic year (i.e. spring or summer 2023). The rate is then reported for that cohort of students, indicating who pursued higher education within one year of graduating. The 3 year go on rates maintain the same graduating class but consider the percentage that enrolled in post-secondary education within three years of graduating.

Idaho's Office of the State Board of Education (OSBE) provided the go on rates data, which it now terms "college-going" data. Counted in these data are Idaho high-school graduates taking courses at any 4-year or 2-year institution of learning beyond high school, whether the student is pursuing a certificate, a degree, or is just taking courses. Also counted are training or job-preparation programs, such as cosmetology and barber training, massage, and other trades training that appear in the available data sources.


The two data sources OSBE consults are (1) the eight Idaho public, post-secondary institutions; and (2) the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC)<sup>11</sup>, which receives data from institutions nationwide that accept federal financial aid. Some known post-secondary programs and program types are not included in these reported rates because they do not appear in either source, e.g., Career Technical Education badges, Northwest Lineman, U.S. military, apprenticeship programs except those linked to the reported institutions, and some small training programs, e.g., for cosmetology, massage, barber.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>11</sup> National Student Clearinghouse: <https://www.studentclearinghouse.org/>

<sup>12</sup> Included in the rate calculation are students attending all Idaho public, post-secondary institutions, and all students attending any institutions listed in the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC). These Idaho schools appeared in the NSC counts last year: Apollo College, Boise State University, Brigham Young University – Idaho, Broadview University, College America – Stevens Henager, College of Eastern Idaho, College of Southern Idaho, College of Western Idaho, Idaho State University, ITT Technical Institute – Boise, Lewis-Clark State College, North Idaho College, Northwest Nazarene University, Stevens-Henager College, Stevens-Henager College Nampa, The College of Idaho, University of Idaho. In addition, in a typical year, Idaho graduates attend post-secondary institutions in about every state in the USA. The full list is available from OSBE-Research on request.

Figure 38: 1 Year and 3 Year Go On Rates, All Students



1-Year Go-On Rate

Figure 39: 1-Year Go-On Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Graduation Cohort





Figure 40: 1-Year Go-On Rates by Student Groups



3-Year Go-On Rates

Figure 41: 3-Year Go-On Rates by Race/Ethnicity and Graduation Cohort





Figure 42: 3-Year Go-On Rates by Student Groups and Class Cohort





## ATTENDANCE AND CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM

The State Department of Education reports on two indices of student attendance or absenteeism.

**Chronic Absenteeism Flag.** This measure became part of our accountability system this year, replacing student engagement as part of the identification of schools as high-performing or in need of additional assistance.

Idaho districts and charter schools report if a student is “Chronically Absent” via ISEE, creating a Chronic Absenteeism flag on the student’s record. The presence of the flag indicates that the student was enrolled in the base school for at least 10 school days at any time during the school year, and missed at least 10% of the total school days in which she or he was enrolled at that school. This is reported for grades K-12 upon students’ exit from the school. Students are considered absent when they miss more than 50% of a school day for any reason. The State Department of Education stores the attribute as reported by the districts and charter schools and does not validate it against the Proportion of Days in Attendance measure reported here and explained below. At the request of the AOC, this document reports the second measure – proportion of days in attendance – instead of SDE’s accountability measure, chronic absenteeism.

**Proportion Attendance Category.** For this report, we categorized and analyzed the proportion of reported days a student is in attendance during the school year among all students identified in the official accountability roster of students in attendance in an Idaho school on the first Friday in May.

Proportion of Days Reported Positive Attendance = Numerator /Denominator, WHERE:

- Denominator = total number of reported instructional days, at the enrolled school, for the entire year.
- Numerator = total number of instructional days of positive reported attendance for at least 1 hour, at the enrolled school, for the entire school year.

We analyzed those percentages using 10-percentage-point categories from 100% down to 60%. Finding little difference among the relatively few students in the lowest categories below 71%, we decreased to three categories:


1. **Adequate Attendance**, or attendance on 91%-100% of the days in the entire school year
2. and 3. **Chronic Absence**, in two, successively more severe categories
  - 81%-90% of days in attendance
  - 80% or fewer days in attendance

In all three years, at least 2% of students reported attendance in more than one school. We analyzed and reported only students in a single school, choosing the one with the highest proportion, because we lacked the data required to combine multiple values. We reported findings for just Proportion Attendance Category, rather than Chronic Absenteeism. Though the two measures differ somewhat in their exact assignment, they differ little in their patterns of relationships to important outcomes.

**Attendance per Proportion-Attendance Category**

As seen in Figure 43, the proportion attending adequately has increased since 2021, though still below 2019 levels. However, the percentage of severely chronically absent students has dropped to the lowest rates since 2019.

**Figure 43: Student Attendance by Year**



In Figure 44, this trend is also seen at all grade bands.

**Figure 44: Student Attendance by Grade Band**





Figure 45 shows an increase in adequate attendance for all groups, except for students in foster care. However, the percentage of foster care students that are severely chronically absent has not increased since 2022.


**Figure 45: Student Attendance by Student Groups**



As seen in Figure 46:

- Students who were Asian, white, or Black had the highest proportions of adequate attendance.
- American Indians, Native Hawaiians, and Hispanics had the lowest proportions.


Figure 46: Student Attendance by Race-Ethnicity



As seen in Figure 47, all four school types lost attendance ground since last year.

- In 2024, Virtual District schools had the highest rate of adequate attendance (94%), while Virtual Charter Schools had the lowest (68%). This is an inverse from 2019 (pre-COVID).
- Virtual District Schools were the only group to have an adequate attendance rate higher than pre-pandemic levels, though in-person charter schools and traditional districts have experienced three consecutive years of growth.

**Figure 47: Student Attendance by School Type**




**ISAT Median Scale Scores by Attendance Proportion Category**

ISAT ELA and Math scores were positively correlated with attendance.

As seen in Figure 48,

- ISAT ELA scores increased steadily by attendance level, from 80% attendance upward.
- This pattern was true in all grades and in both 2023, and 2024.
- The correlation is clear but small, accounting for between 1% and 4% of the variance in performance per grade and year.

**Figure 48: 2023 and 2024 ISAT ELA Mean Scale Scores by Grade and Attendance**



As seen in Figure 49, ISAT Math scores were positively correlated with attendance.

- ISAT Math scores increased steadily by attendance level, from 80% attendance upward.
- This pattern was true in all grades and in both 2023, and 2024.
- The correlation is clear but small, accounting for between 1% and 4% of the variance in performance per grade and year.

**Figure 49: 2023 and 2024 ISAT Math Mean Scale Scores by Grade and Attendance**







Figure 50: 2023 and 2024 IRI Mean Scores by Grade and Attendance

- Spring IRI Composite scores increased steadily by attendance level, from 80% attendance upward.
- This pattern was true in all grades and in both 2023, and 2024.
- The correlation is clear but small, accounting for between 1% and 5% of the variance in performance per grade and year.



**9<sup>th</sup>-Grade Attendance Predicts 4-year Graduation Status**


In Idaho, attendance as early as 9<sup>th</sup> grade strongly predicts likely graduation four years later, as seen in this analysis of students' 9<sup>th</sup> grade attendance in the school year 2018 and their graduation status in 2021.

**Method Defining Attendance of the 9<sup>th</sup>-grade Cohort Members.** If a 2021 graduation cohort member was enrolled in multiple schools in the 2017-18 school year, the highest positive attendance for the student was used.

Figure 51 shows the relationship between 9<sup>th</sup>-grade attendance and 4-year graduation. The graph excludes students who were not enrolled in an Idaho public school as of the first Friday of May of their freshman year, students who transferred out to another educational program outside of Idaho LEAs that culminates in the award of a regular high school diploma, emigrated to another county, or passed away during their four cohort years (n=3,016). The graph shows:

- 4-year graduates of the 2024 cohort were 29% more likely to have high attendance (91%-100%) in 9<sup>th</sup> grade than were their cohort non-graduates (92.35% of graduates had highest attendance level vs. 71.38% of non-graduates).
- Non-graduates were 772% more likely than graduates (6.59% v. 0.76%) to have low attendance (80% or less).

**Figure 51: 4-year Graduation Status of 2024 Cohort by their 9th-Grade Attendance**



## NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is the largest nationally representative, continuing evaluation of the condition of education in the United States. It has served as a national yardstick of student achievement since 1969. Students are tested on the subject areas of mathematics and reading on standards chosen by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB). These standards do not align to state standards. Through [The Nation's Report Card](#), NAEP informs the public about what American students know and can do in various subject areas and compares achievement among states, large urban districts, and various student groups.

In Idaho, 1,800 students from 90 schools participated in each grade and subject area. Note that the number of students and schools are rounded to the nearest hundred and ten respectively. Students are selected statewide to achieve generalizable results among their student group population, schools are selected based on where these selected students attend school.

NAEP results are reported as both scale scores and achievements levels. The NAEP achievement levels are Below Basic, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced, but do not represent the same performance expectations as the ISAT, despite the use of the same terminology. Students performing at or above the *NAEP Proficient* level on NAEP assessments demonstrate solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter. It should be noted that the *NAEP Proficient* achievement level does not represent grade level proficiency as determined by other assessment standards (e.g., state or district assessments). The Idaho Department of Education continues to manage the NAEP assessment program (per Idaho code 08.02.03.111), which began in Idaho in 1992.

### Results from 2024 (winter 2025)

The graphs below show NAEP performance in Grades 4 and 8 in 2013, 2022, and the last year for which results are currently available, 2024, in Idaho and at a national level. Results are expected to be available from the Nation's Report Card in early winter 2025. Idaho's performance over this period declined, mirroring that of the national public-school average.

Figure 52: NAEP Grade 4 Reading Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013




Figure 53: NAEP Grade 8 Reading Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013




Figure 54: NAEP Grade 4 Math Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013






Figure 55: NAEP Grade 8 Math Performance by Achievement Levels in 2024, 2022, and 2013





# Appendix

IRI scores were put on a vertical scale and were subsequently renormed in 2022 using data from the 2018-19 school year. This was to align the IRI vendor's PreK-grade 3 early-reading assessment and Grades 4-5 advanced-reading assessment and make the scores continuous and comparable. For any norm-referenced assessments, the norm needs to be updated every four to five years to represent the performance of the current population. The change in norms affected the proficiency-level (Tier) assignment.

*The following data represents the IRI scores from the old norms.*



SY 2019: Fall 2018 and Spring 2019*				SY 2022: Fall 2021 and Spring 2022*			
All Grades	Fall (88,119)	23% 24%	53%	All Grades	Fall (90,084)	24% 25%	51%
	Spring (88,763)	13% 17%	70%		Spring (90,711)	14% 17%	68%
Kindergarten	Fall (21,102)	28% 27%	45%	Kindergarten	Fall (21,659)	30% 30%	41%
	Spring (21,339)	16% 21%	63%		Spring (21,883)	16% 20%	65%
Grade 1	Fall (22,012)	27% 30%	43%	Grade 1	Fall (22,606)	26% 28%	46%
	Spring (22,179)	14% 20%	67%		Spring (22,767)	15% 21%	64%
Grade 2	Fall (22,343)	21% 19%	60%	Grade 2	Fall (22,730)	22% 20%	57%
	Spring (22,487)	11% 14%	75%		Spring (22,926)	13% 14%	72%
Grade 3	Fall (22,662)	18% 21%	61%	Grade 3	Fall (23,089)	19% 21%	59%
	Spring (22,758)	12% 15%	73%		Spring (23,135)	13% 15%	72%
							



---

# **ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE**

## **FY 24 RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT TEST RE-NORMING OVERVIEW**

---




# Changes in IRI from 2022-23 to Current

## Overview

- The Idaho Reading Indicator (IRI) by Istation underwent changes in 2022-23, including making adjustments to the expectations and cut scores associated with students being “At Grade Level.”
- In 2022-23, Idaho received our data with both the old and adjusted expectations (norms).
- Based on the changes to the test and the differences in the 2022-23 scores based on the two different sets of expectations, the AOC strongly recommends that trend data be separated between pre and post 2022-23.
- This presentation is designed to demonstrate the change that was made in 2022-23 and the impact it had on IRI scores.

# Changes to IRI Expectations


## Intended IRI Score Distribution



Norms are established based on the available data on performance of all students (nationally) who take the test in given year(s). The norms are set so the distribution of scores creates an even bell curve.

## Changes to IRI Expectations

### Time to Adjust Norms



If the demographics and/or scores of student scores (in Idaho and nationally) change, it can result in a skewed bell curve, with more students than expected exceeding the At Grade Level cut. The test creators will then use more recent data to identify the appropriate average score and the cut.

## IRI Performance 2022-23 & 2023-24

IRI Percentage of Students At Grade Level,  
 Spring 2022-23 & Spring 2023-24

Grade(s)	2022-23 At Grade Level, OLD Cut	2022-23 At Grade Level, NEW Cut	2023-24 At Grade Level, NEW Cut
ALL	69%	66%	67%
K	66%	65%	66%
1	62%	62%	64%
2	75%	66%	66%
3	75%	69%	70%

**LEWIS-CLARK STATE COLLEGE UNIVERSITY**  
Identity “name” Change

**SUBJECT**

Lewis-Clark State requests approval to seek amendment to Idaho Statute, Title 33 Education, Chapter 31, Section 3101 (and all associated sections: 33-3102, 33-3103, 33-3104, 33-3106, 33-3107, 33-3113, 33-3114, 33-3116) to change from College to University.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

Idaho Statute, Title 33, Section 3101 (33-3101): 33-3101. ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHOOL. “An institute of higher education for the state of Idaho is hereby established in the city of Lewiston, in the county of Nez Perce, to be called the Lewis-Clark State University ~~College~~, heretofore called the Lewis-Clark Normal School, the purposes of which shall be the offering and the giving of instruction in college courses in the sciences, arts and literature, professional, technical, and courses or programs of higher education as are usually included in colleges and universities leading to the granting of appropriate collegiate degrees as approved by the state board of education.”

History: [(33-3101) 1893, p. 6, sec. 1; reen. 1899, p. 164, sec. 1; R.C., sec. 500; reen. C.L., sec. 500; C.S., sec. 1080; I.C.A., sec. 32-2501; am. 1947, ch. 99, sec. 2, p. 182; am. 1955, ch. 76, sec. 1, p. 147; am. 1963, ch. 76, sec. 1, p. 271; am. 1971, ch. 44, sec. 1, p. 92; am. 1999, ch. 329, sec. 34, p. 877; am. 2020, ch. 98, sec. 1, p. 257.]

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION**

As the timeline below reveals, Lewis-Clark State has a long history of identity evolution and associated name changes.

- **1893:** Establish a **state normal school** for the purpose of educating teachers.
- **1899:** *Lewiston State Normal School*.
- **1908:** Revised Code (R.C.) chapter 2 sec. 1 = Establishment of school.
- **1918:** Compiled Laws sec. 500.
- **1919:** Compiled Statutes, Chapter 44 sec. 1080.
- **1932:** Idaho Code Annotated (I.C.A.) sec. 32-2501.
- **1947:** Name changed to *North Idaho College of Education*, removed teacher training guardrails and four-year program focus identified.
- **1951:** Korean War outbreak and state financial issues – “quiet time”.
- **1955:** LC re-emerges/Name change to *Lewis-Clark Normal School*.
- **1963:** Authorized four-year courses –bachelor’s degree.
- **1971:** Name changed to *Lewis-Clark State College*.
- **1999:** Statute reference to vocational education changed to Professional- Technical Education.
- **2020:** Removed statute-delimited undergraduate education guardrails, amended Idaho Code section 33-3101 such that: the Idaho SBOE may approve “...colleges courses or programs of higher education as are usually included in colleges and

universities leading to the granting of appropriate collegiate degrees...”

The change from usage of the label college to university is an academically accurate response to the 2020 Statute change, and LC State’s niche-specific graduate education program development, as a comprehensive regional institution. A comprehensive regional university is defined as an institution of higher education that typically serves a specific geographic region offering undergraduate and graduate programs across various fields of study. These universities are often situated in less populous areas and are designed to meet the educational needs of their communities. While comprehensive regional universities may offer graduate programs, their primary focus is undergraduate education. They typically provide a supportive learning environment that emphasizes teaching, mentorship, and undergraduate research opportunities. Comprehensive regional universities also prioritize accessibility and affordability. They may also offer a mix of traditional on-campus programs, online courses, and flexible scheduling options to accommodate the needs of non-traditional students.

There are five designated “state colleges” in Idaho: Lewis-Clark State College, College of Eastern Idaho, College of Southern Idaho, College of Western Idaho, and North Idaho College. While four are designated community colleges, all institutions use the naming label “college,” without the additional designator of “community.” LC State is the only four-year comprehensive state institution using the label “college.” This causes persistent confusion with the public and various stakeholder groups (e.g., legislators, business/industry, prospective students, etc.), wherein LC State is often perceived to be a two-year institution. To complicate matters further, Idaho’s community colleges are now approved to offer select bachelor’s degrees, thus making differentiation more unclear.



In February of 2020, the Idaho State Board of Education designated 13 peer institutions for LC State (attachment 1). These institutions were identified through statistical regression and cluster analyses based on factors such as enrollment, retention and graduation metrics, geographic region served, etc. These institutions help to establish comparator benchmarks in terms of quality and quantity of academic programming. Performance measures on metrics such as enrollment, retention, and graduation rates are also considered. As displayed in Appendix A, of the 13 designated peer institutions, LC State is the only remaining “College.” Likewise, all the public regional institutions in LC State’s athletic competition conference and region are designated as universities.

## **IMPACT**

The name change would not in any way alter LC State’s scope or mission, and will not change LC State’s accessible, affordable, small school, teaching and learning focus. Instead, it will: (a) clarify and better communicate the value proposition associated with the institution’s high-quality programs and outcomes; and (b) is necessary to help LC State be successful in its existing market niche as Idaho’s only public four-year, small school experience.

Regarding marketing/branding, LC State’s Communications & Marketing Office reports the university moniker will help the institution better communicate its value proposition, reach key audiences and demographics, align regionally and nationally with peer institutions, and clearly distinguish it from its Idaho two-year sister institutions. This will be especially important to student recruitment and retention as post- secondary go-on rates associated with population demographics decline (i.e., the nationally projected enrollment cliff). Additional reasons for this researched-based optimism are included in attachment 3.

In terms of resources and associated costs for annual marketing and promotion expenses (e.g., advertisements, billboards, etc.), as well as for annual promotional publications (e.g., viewbooks, flyers, etc.), no impact is expected as such costs are incurred annually regardless. Campus signage expenses are expected to be minimal because (a) The alteration to the name is minimal and has increasingly been amended as LC State (This is especially true in athletics), (b) The change to the institution’s primary logo is expected to be minimal (see logo examples below), and (c) The institution does not have a significant amount of permanent high-end signage. For cost savings related to permanent signage, where possible, the institution would plan to update high-end signage in accordance with existing maintenance schedules (e.g., the floor decals in the P1FCU Activity Center would be updated in accordance with regular floor refinishing schedules). The cost to update signage and materials not already scheduled to be updated, is estimated at under \$50,000.

Proposed	Current
	

Recognition of LC State as a university will have minimal impact on faculty and their current roles. LC State has historically been defined as “primarily a teaching institution” and assigns faculty work expectations accordingly. Each member of the academic staff is and will continue to be, expected to enhance the learning environment through instruction, applied research, scholarly activity, and service that supports the institution’s mission: “preparing students to become successful leaders, engaged citizens, and lifelong learners.” Faculty responsibilities will continue to include effective classroom teaching, academic advising and counseling/mentoring of students, participation in departmental and institution- wide committee work, continuous development of the curriculum through assessment, applied research or scholarly activity, and service such as assisting and supporting enrollment (recruitment/retention) initiatives designed to help students succeed academically.

The name change will not impact institutional scope, or the small school experience students cherish, and in many cases need for

**PLANNING, POLICY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
APRIL 16-17, 2025**

academic success. The name change will not impact tuition. The student experience and student success will remain foundational to LC State's ongoing mission-driven, student-centered focus.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Attachment 1 - State Board of Education approved peer institutions
- Attachment 2 - LC State campus survey results
- Attachment 3 - LC State Marketing Analysis & Graphic
- Attachment 4 - Letters of support

**STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Board staff recommends approval of this request contingent upon discussion and commitment from the institution that the name change does not indicate a change in scope for the institution from a primarily student serving, local college.

**BOARD ACTION**

I move to approve the request by Lewis-Clark State College to change the name from 'College' to Lewis-Clark State University.

Moved by \_\_\_\_\_ Seconded by \_\_\_\_\_ Carried Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_



## SBOE Approved Institutional Peers

<u>Name</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Change to Univ.</u>
Bluefield State <b>University</b>	Bluefield, WV	1,200	2022
Dickinson State <b>University</b>	Dickinson, ND	1,500	1987
Eastern Oregon <b>University</b>	La Grande, OR	2,800	1997
Indiana <b>University</b> -Kokomo	Kokomo, IN	3,100	2012
Mayville State <b>University</b>	Mayville, ND	1,100	1987
Missouri Southern State <b>University</b>	Joplin, MO	4,400	2003
Montana State <b>University</b> -Northern	Havre, MT	1,000	2001
<b>University</b> of Montana-Western	Dillon, MT	1,400	2001
Oregon Institute of Technology	Klamath Falls, OR	5,100	2012
Southern Oregon <b>University</b>	Ashland, OR	4,900	1997
<b>University</b> of Maine-Fort Kent	Fort Kent, ME	1,200	1970
<b>University</b> of Maine-Presque Isle	Presque Isle, ME	1,500	1971
Western Oregon <b>University</b>	Monmouth, OR	4,000	1995

**0/13**  
college

**9/13**  
smaller than LC

**12/13**  
university

**LC State Communication & Marketing Office Analysis and Graphic***In-state marketing advantages and opportunities of "university"*

- **Not a Community College** – Less of the institution's limited advertising budget could go toward explaining to Idahoans that it offers four-year programs. Less market confusion will benefit not just LC State, but its sisters, and students and parents.
- **Community College Pipelines** – LC State has a number of incredible pipelines at Idaho's community colleges and the change to "university" would only increase awareness about this. This may be particularly advantageous for the recently signed articulation with NW Indian College.
- **Graduate Programs** – The institution now offers graduate-level programs but has a very limited advertising budget to announce this. The change to "university" would help immediately.
- **Online Market** – Many for-profit online universities are attracting would-be LC State students. They spend millions of dollars in advertisements to tout affordability and quality. LC State's programs are often far superior in both categories, but the institution's advertising budget is far inferior. The change to "university" would help level the playing field in this regard.
- **Recruiting Locally** – Idaho's Region II has one of the post-secondary lowest go-on rates. A re-positioning/branding of LC State is expected to elevate the perceived opportunities and outcomes available "right in your backyard."
- **Communicating Quality** – Many Idahoans know that LC State is affordable, but some go out of state to pursue what they perceive is a higher quality at a university (WSU, Gonzaga, EWU, online for-profit, etc.). The name change is expected to keep more Idahoans in Idaho.
- **Premier Programs** – LC State has a number of programs (nursing, teacher education, etc.) that consistently rank at or near the top in Idaho among four-year schools. The designated "university" will better speak to the institution's leading (not secondary) role in many areas, helping Idahoans make better informed decisions.


**In-State Marketing**

- Launch, Apply Idaho
- Transfers
- LC Valley



# Change to University?

Composite results from April 2024 and Spring 2025 campus surveys (2/13/25). A total of 94 responses.



**88% of staff are in favor or neutral.**

“This change has been a long time coming and I see no reason not to aggressively move forward with it.”

“Evolving to university will alleviate confusion with two-year schools and appropriately acknowledge LC State's program range and quality.”

“Too often we are associated with a community college. People, even in our own community sometimes, don't realize that we offer bachelor and even master's programs. It's honestly kind of embarrassing.”

“I believe we may be overlooked now by many as they assume we only offer associate degrees.”

**88% of faculty are in favor or neutral.**

“I love the name change!” “It's a great idea!” “Yes, we should change the name to Lewis-Clark State University.”

“I fully support the change to university. It will help us distinguish ourselves from community colleges and will help in promotional efforts.”

“Language is dynamic and not static. ‘College’ now has connotations that are negative to our mission.”

“I support the name change—this has the potential to broaden our marketing reach and better reflects the many degrees offered here.”



February 3, 2025

Dr. Linda Clark, President  
Idaho State Board of Education  
650 West State Street, Suite 307  
Boise, ID 83720

RE: Lewis-Clark State College to Lewis-Clark State University

Dear Dr. Clark:

Please accept this letter for your consideration on the benefits of converting the name of Lewis-Clark State College to Lewis-Clark State University.

I conducted a quick search of the literature and learned that colleges frequently make changes in their offerings and academic programs, for example new and updated courses, from quarters to semesters, and from undergraduate to include a graduate department. The evolution of these programs and higher learning tended to support a name change to university.


Additionally, the literature referenced the potential for increased student enrollment and improved financial standing due to a name change. However, in my opinion, the primary benefit should be the attainment of a higher-quality educational experience for students, which I believe would be attained if a name change happened.

I have asked President Pemberton and she has confirmed that senior administration, faculty, and students have been consulted on this name change. Ongoing collaborative leadership with community leaders and alumni has continued as well. A name change would reflect the State Board of Education's continued investment in programs offering graduate degrees, and an increased attraction for faculty who are leaders in their fields, contributing to a rich academic culture in our community, region, and state.

As Mayor, I understand the critical role Lewis-Clark State [University] plays in shaping the future of our city. A name change would not alter their mission, vision, or values, and it aligns with Lewiston's vision for growth and prosperity. I am in full support of Lewis-Clark State University.

Thank you for your leadership in guiding this important name change. Please let me know if I can provide further support in this effort.

Sincerely,



Daniel G. Johnson  
Mayor

Idaho State Board of Education  
 650 West State Street  
 Boise, ID 83702

April 16, 2025


This letter is written in support of Lewis-Clark State College’s proposal to change the institution naming moniker from Lewis-Clark State College to Lewis-Clark State University (LC State).

A regional comprehensive university is defined as an institution of higher education that typically serves a geographic region and offers a broad curriculum with a wide range of undergraduate and graduate programs across various fields of study such as arts and humanities, social sciences, health sciences, natural sciences, business, education and career technical. These universities are often situated in less populous areas and are designed to meet the diverse education needs of their communities. While comprehensive regional universities may offer graduate programs, their primary focus is on undergraduate education. They typically provide a supportive learning environment that emphasizes teaching, mentorship, and undergraduate research opportunities. This is a description of LC State.


As a representative of a community organization with broad partnerships with LC State, the transition from “College” to “University” is significant for the following reasons:

- LC State has developed a Health Hub, expanding health education programs from certificate to associate degree, to bachelor’s degrees, and pathways for graduate programs.
- LC State is now authorized to offer graduate degrees with a focus on specific professional programs such as nursing. Re-branding as a university serves to showcase the expanded programming.
- LC State is already comprised of three separate schools: School of Professional Studies, Liberal Arts & Sciences, Career Technical Education.
- Student recruitment – the post-secondary go-on rate for Region II is one of the lowest in Idaho. By transitioning to university status, it is expected the community perception of opportunities and outcomes available locally will increase, enhancing student recruitment which is critical for our regional workforce needs.
- The value-add perception associated with a university serves as an economic driver for the region by attracting business, research partnerships, and investment. It also contributes to the development of a highly skilled workforce, which can attract businesses looking to locate in areas with a strong educational infrastructure.
- Currently, there are 5 public colleges in Idaho. Four are community colleges and LC State is the only 4-year institution. This causes confusion with the public, industry, and students, resulting in LC State being incorrectly identified as a community college. **Rebranding its identity as a university will provide immediate recognition that LC State offers 4-year programs and better communicate its value proposition.**

For these reasons and more, I support the proposal to change Lewis-Clark State College to Lewis-Clark State University.



Ed Freysinger, CEO  
 St. Joseph’s Regional  
 Medical Center  
 Lewiston, ID



Kym Clift, CEO  
 TriState Health  
 Clarkston, WA



Kara Besst, CEO  
 Gritman Medical  
 Center  
 Moscow, ID



Rick Rasmussen, CEO  
 Northwest Specialty  
 Hospital  
 Post Falls, ID